

<p><b>Cabinet</b></p> <p>7 February 2017</p>	
<p><b>Report of:</b> Zena Cooke, Corporate Director of Resources</p>	<p><b>Classification:</b> Unrestricted</p>
<p><b>Corporate Directors' Decisions</b></p>	

<b>Lead Member</b>	<b>Councillor David Edgar, Cabinet Member for Resources</b>
<b>Originating Officer(s)</b>	Abdul Miah, Accountant - Financial Planning
<b>Wards affected</b>	All wards
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No
<b>Community Plan Theme</b>	<b>One Tower Hamlets</b>

### **Executive Summary**

This report sets out Corporate Directors' decisions under Financial Regulation B10 which stipulates that such decisions be the subject of a noting report to Cabinet if they involve expenditure between £100,000 and £250,000.

### **Recommendations:**

The Mayor in Cabinet is recommended to:

1. Note the Corporate Directors' decision set out in Appendix 1.

## **1. REASONS FOR THE DECISIONS**

- 1.1 Financial Regulations require that regular reports be submitted to Cabinet setting out financial decisions taken under Financial Regulation B10.
- 1.2 The regular reporting of Corporate Directors' Decisions should assist in ensuring that Members are able to scrutinise officer decisions.

## **2. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- 2.1 The Council is bound by its Financial Regulations (which have been approved by Council) to report to Cabinet setting out financial decisions taken under Financial Regulation B10.
- 2.2 If the Council were to deviate from those requirements, there would need to be a good reason for doing so. It is not considered that there is any such reason, having regard to the need to ensure that Members are kept informed about decisions made under the delegated authority threshold and to ensure that these activities are in accordance with Financial Regulations.

## **3. DETAILS OF REPORT**

- 3.1 Financial Regulation B10 sets out the Cabinet Reporting Thresholds for the following financial transactions:
  - Virements
  - Capital Estimates
  - Waiving Competition Requirements for Contracts and Orders (Subject to EU threshold)
  - Capital Overspends
  - Settlement Of Uninsured Claims
- 3.2 Under Financial Regulation B10, if the transaction involves a sum between £100,000 and £250,000 it can be authorised by the Corporate Director under the scheme of delegation but must also be the subject of a noting report to the next available Cabinet.
- 3.3 There have been one new Corporate Directors' Decisions between £100,000 and £250,000 since the last report on 6th December 2016. The detail of this is provided within Appendix 1.

## **4. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER**

- 4.1 The comments of the Chief Financial Officer have been incorporated into the report and Appendix.

## **5. LEGAL COMMENTS**

- 5.1 The report sets out individual Corporate Directors' Decisions for noting by Cabinet, as required by Financial Regulation B10.

- 5.2 Internal guidelines have been published setting out the process by which Records of Corporate Directors' Decisions are completed. These specify that the proposed decision must be in accordance with the Council's Financial Regulations and its Procurement Procedures. There are limited circumstances in which a waiver of the Procurement Procedures is permissible and the guidelines reinforce that waivers should not be sought as a substitute for proper planning.
- 5.3 Each director's decision requires prior authorisation by the relevant service head, the responsible procurement officer, the directorate finance manager, and the chief legal officer before agreement by the corporate director. A template form is completed to record each director's decision and these Records of Corporate Directors' Decisions (RCDDs) must be maintained by each directorate. The legal implications of each of the individual decisions are provided as part of the decision making process and are recorded on the relevant RCDD.

## **6. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS**

- 6.1 This report is concerned with the notification of officers' decisions under Standing Orders and has no direct One Tower Hamlets implications. To the extent that there are One Tower Hamlets Considerations arising from the individual decisions, these would have been addressed in the records of each decision.

## **7. BEST VALUE (BV) IMPLICATIONS**

- 7.1 Best Value implications associated with each of the Corporate Directors' decisions as set out in Appendix 1 would have been identified and evaluated as an integral part of the process which led to the decision.

## **8. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT**

- 8.1 There are no Sustainable Action for A Greener Environment implications arising from this report.

## **9. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS**

- 9.1 The risks associated with each of the Corporate Directors' decisions as set out in Appendix 1 would have been identified and evaluated as an integral part of the process which led to the decision.

## **10. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS**

- 10.1 There are no Crime and Disorder Reduction implications arising from this report.

## **11. SAFEGUARDING IMPLICATIONS**

- 11.1 Safeguarding risks or benefits associated with each of the Corporate Directors' decisions as set out in Appendix 1 would have been identified and evaluated as an integral part of the process which led to the decision.
- 

### **Linked Reports, Appendices and Background Documents**

#### **Linked Report**

- None

#### **Appendices**

- Appendix 1 – Corporate Directors' Decisions under Financial Regulation B10

#### **Background Documents – Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements)(Access to Information)(England) Regulations 2012**

- None

#### **Officer contact details for documents:**

- Stephen Adams, Finance Business Partner, Communities, Localities and Culture, Ext. 5212