

Appendix Two – Benchmarking data
North East London Food Liaison Group (NELFLG):

The term “broadly compliant” relates to the way a food business complies with food hygiene legislation. Previously a National Performance Indicator (NI184), it was intended for the monitoring of local authorities.

An authorised Food Safety Officer currently risk rates every food business that they inspect having regard to a food hygiene scoring system based on the Food Law Code of Practice. A food business will be classed as broadly compliant, if they score in the manner described below in the categories listed.

- a) Hygiene compliance record = 10 or less
- b) Structural compliance record = 10 or less
- c) Confidence in management = 10 or less

Therefore in order to be classed as broadly compliant the business should score 10 or less in each category a) - c), and have a total of 30 or less for the sum of the categories.

One would class a broadly compliant business as generally satisfactory.

We also benchmark how many unrated premises are currently waiting an inspection as these have an unknown risk.

| LA | Total No. of Premises | % Broad Compliance (inc. unrated) | % Broad Compliance (excl. unrated) | No. of Unrated Premises | % Unrated Premises |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Camden | 3656 | 74% | 88% | 600 | 16% |
| Enfield | 2360 | 75% | 84% | 247 | 10% |
| Hackney | 2503 | 81% | 83% | 50 | 2% |
| Havering | 1910 | 75% | 86% | 236 | 12% |
| Islington | 2440 | 76% | 81% | 148 | 12% |
| Newham | Not supplied | | | | |
| Redbridge | Not supplied | | | | |
| Tower Hamlets | 2854 | 80% | 86% | 198 | 7% |
| Waltham Forest | Not supplied | | | | |

The above table is a snap shot of activity from January 2016, where most Authorities gave information to the NELFG return.

