

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)**

**SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

Date: <b>2/6/23</b>	Surveyor: <b>FARID NAINIA</b>
---------------------	-------------------------------

<b>Tree details</b>	Tree/Group No: <b>T1</b>	Species: <b>PLATANUS X HISPANICA</b>
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Location: <b>DINMONT ESTATE</b>	
Owner (if known): <b>THCH</b>		

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- |                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good             | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair             | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor             | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead             | Unsuitable              |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

Score & Notes <b>TREE IS IN GOOD HEALTH AND VITALITY. VERY FEW MINOR DEFECTS WHICH IS TO BE EXPECTED FROM A TREE OF THIS AGE</b>
---

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

Score & Notes <b>THIS IS A MATURE TREE THAT IS OVER 100 YEARS OLD. 4 - IN ITS CURRENT CONDITION THE TREE IS EXPECTED TO REACH FULL AGE</b>
---

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes <b>VERY LARGE 5 - TREE CLEARLY VISABLE FROM THE PUBLIC HIGHWAY</b>
---

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes <b>1</b>
---------------------------

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes <b>3 - FORESEEABLE THREAT</b>
--

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-10  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible        |
| 15+   | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total: <b>18</b>
------------------------------------

Decision: <b>DEFINITELY MERITS TPO</b>
---

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 2/6/23 Surveyor: FALD NATINIA

Tree details  
 TPO Ref (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_ Tree/Group No: T2 Species: SORBUS ARIA  
 Owner (if known): THCM Location: DINMONT ESTATE

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable
- 0) Dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

Score & Notes TREE IS IN GOOD HEALTH AND VITALITY. A COUPLE OF VERY 5 - MINOR DEFECTS WHICH IS TO BE EXPECTED FROM A TREE OF THIS AGE.

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10\* Unsuitable

Score & Notes THIS IS A MATURE TREE THAT IS OVER 50 YEARS OLD. IN 4 - IT'S CURRENT CONDITION THE TREE IS EXPECTED TO REACH FULL AGE

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size

- Highly suitable
- Suitable
- Suitable
- Barely suitable
- Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes LARGE TREE 4 - CLEARLY VISIBLE TO THE PUBLIC FROM THE HIGHWAY

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes 1

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes 3 - FORESEEABLE THREAT

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total: 17

Decision: DEFINITELY MERITS TPO