APPENDIX 3B LBTH Management Options Appraisal Evaluation Framework - Summary

		Weighting	OPTION A: OUTSOURCING TO LEISURE OPERATOR	OPTION B: IN- HOUSE MANAGEMENT	OPTION C: LOCAL AUTHORITY TRADING COMPANY (TECKAL)	OPTION D: NEW LEISURE TRUST
FINANCIAL CON Cost	SIDERATIONS Extent of Council responsibility for/exposure to:	30%				
	Income generation	_				
	Economies of scale for key costs, including utilities Salary levels (not relating to LGPS)	_	Based on net	Based on net	Based on net	Based on net
	Overhead management costs	_	position from	position from financial analysis	position from	position from
	Profit	- -	financial analysis	iiriariciai ariaiysis	financial analysis	financial analysis
	VAT treatment Non-National Domestic Rates (NNDR)	_				
	Pension liabilities	_				
		Score:	5.00	0.00	3.97	3.97
Risk transfer (commercial)	Extent to which the Council can transfer key risks (without losing influence over the delivery of outcomes):	10%				
	Day-to-day operations (e.g. costs resulting from unavailability of areas of a building)		4	2	3	4
	Change of customer demand Local competition		5 5	2 2	3	3
	Change in law affecting operation/cost/ facility specification		4	2	2	2
	Utility cost (tariff) changes		3	3	3	3
	Utility cost (consumption) changes Uninsured risks		4	2	3	3
	Uninsured risks		4		3	3
		Score:	4	2	3	3
_	. CONSIDERATIONS Relative ability of each model to:	30%				
Service delivery	Deliver the Council's current strategic objectives for next 10 years	30%	3	5	4	4
	Work with other Council departments, e.g. Public Health, Parks, etc.		3	5	3	3
	Meet the Council's net zero objectives		4	4	4	4
	Deliver excellent customer service		4	3	3	4
	Increase participation in the most economically and sustainable way Engage with most vulnerable groups within the borough		3	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	3
	Engage with most vulnerable groups within the borough		3	3	4	4
		Score:	4	4	4	4
Operational flexibility (for Council)	Ability to adapt to changes to the service within the period	20%				
Councily	Opportunities for cross-Council initiatives, e.g. joined up IT solutions across leisure/IDEA		3	5	3	3
	stores Extent to which arrangement protects service in long-term (10 years)		4	3	4	4
	Extent to which arrangement protects service in long-term (10 years)		4	3	4	4
		Score:	4	4	4	4
Risk transfer (operational)	Extent to which the Council can transfer key risks (without losing influence over the delivery of outcomes):	10%				
	Health and safety Managing, recruiting and retaining staff		4	2 2	3	3
	Planned preventative maintenance, including compliance checks		4	2	3	3
	Investment to improve the estate		3	5	2	2
		Score:	4	3	3	3
		100%		-		
	То	otal Raw Score:	72	59	59	62
	Total V	Veighted Score:	76% Preferred option	65%	67%	69%
			Freierieu option			

LBTH Management Options Appraisal Evaluation Framework - Full Scores

		Weighting	OPTION A: OUTSOURCING TO LEISURE OPERATOR	OPTION B: IN- HOUSE MANAGEMENT	OPTION C: LOCAL AUTHORITY TRADING COMPANY (TECKAL)	OPTION D: NEW LEISURE TRUST
FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS						
Cost	Extent of Council responsibility for/exposure to:	30%				
	Income generation	<u> </u>				
	Economies of scale for key costs, including utilities					
	Salary levels (not relating to LGPS)	_				
	Overhead management costs	<u></u>				
	Profit VAT treatment	<u></u>				
	Non-National Domestic Rates (NNDR)	<u> </u>				
	Pension liabilities	<u> </u>				
	1 CHSIOTH HADHILLES	Score	e:			
Risk transfer (commercial)	Extent to which the Council can transfer key risks (without losing influence over the delivery of outcomes):	10%	A B C D	A B C D	A B C D	A B C D
	Day-to-day operations (e.g. costs resulting from unavailability of areas of a building)		3 5 5 4	1 2 1 3.5	3 3 3 3.5	3 3 5 3.5
	Change of customer demand		5 4 5 4	1 2 2 3.5	4 2 3 3.5	4 2 5 3.5
	Local competition		5 4 5 4	1 2 2 3	4 3 3 3.5	4 3 3 3.5
	Change in law affecting operation/cost/ facility specification		3 3 5 4	1 1 2 3	1 1 3 3.5	1 1 4 3.5
	Utility cost (tariff) changes		3 2 3 3.5	3 2 3 3	3 2 3 3.5	3 2 3 3.5
	Utility cost (consumption) changes		5 2 4 4	3 2 1 3	4 2 4 3	4 2 4 3
	Uninsured risks		4 4 5 4	2 2 1 3	3 2 2 3.5	3 2 4 3.5
NON-FINANCIAI Service delivery	CONSIDERATIONS Relative ability of each model to: Deliver the Council's current strategic objectives for next 10 years Work with other Council departments, e.g. Public Health, Parks, etc. Meet the Council's net zero objectives Deliver excellent customer service Increase participation in the most economically and sustainable way Engage with most vulnerable groups within the borough	30%	3 3 4 3.5 2 2 3 3.5 4 3 4 3.5 4 4 4 4 4 2 4 4 3 2 3 3.5	4 5 5 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 3.5 3 4 3 3.5 2 4 4 3 4 5 5 4	3 4 4 4 2 3 4 4 3 4 4 3.5 3 4 3 3.5 3 3 3 3.5 3 3 4 4	3 4 4 4 2 4 3 4 3 4 4 3.5 3 4 4 3.5 3 4 3 3.5 3 4 3 4 3
Operational flexibility (for Council)	Ability to adapt to changes to the service within the period Opportunities for cross-Council initiatives, e.g. joined up IT solutions across leisure/IDEA stores Extent to which arrangement protects service in long-term (10 years)	20%	2 2 3 3 4 3 4 4	5 5 5 4 2 4 3 3	2 3 4 3 4 4 3 3.5	2 3 3 4 4 3 4 3.5
Risk transfer (operational)	Extent to which the Council can transfer key risks (without losing influence over the delivery of outcomes): Health and safety Managing, recruiting and retaining staff Planned preventative maintenance, including compliance checks Investment to improve the estate	10%	4 4 4 3.5 5 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 3 2 3 3.5	1 2 1 3 1 2 1 3.5 1 2 2 3.5 5 5 5 3.5	3 2 2 3 4 2 3 3.5 4 2 3 3.5 2 1 4 3,5	3 2 4 3 4 2 4 3.5 4 2 4 3.5 2 1 4 3,5

LBTH Management Options Appraisal Evaluation Framework - Scoring Methdology

Score	Description of score	
5	The model meets the criteria fully in line with the council's requirements	
4	The model meets the criteria to a significant degree with the council's requirements	
3	The model meets the criteria satisfactorily and has some disadvantages	
2	The model does not meet the criteria to in a number of areas	
1	The model does not meet the criteria in a number of key areas and has a significant number of disadvantages	
0	The model does not meet the criteria to any degree	