

Committee: <b>Licensing Sub-Committee</b>	Date: 7 <sup>th</sup> June 2022	Classification: UNRESTRICTED	Report No.	Agenda Item No.
--	------------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------	-----------------

Report of: <b>David Tolley</b> <b>Head of Environmental Health &amp; Trading Standards</b>  Originating Officer: <b>Lavine Miller-Johnson</b> <b>Licensing Officer</b>	Title: <b>Licensing Act 2003</b>  <b>Application to Review the Premise Licence for (Silver Sockeye, AKA The Terrible Thames) Wapping Pier King Henry's Stairs Wapping High Street London E1 2NR</b>  Ward affected: <b>St Katharine's &amp; Wapping</b>
---	--

## 1.0 Summary

Name and	<b>Silver Sockeye, AKA The Terrible Thames</b>
Address of premises:	<b>Wapping Pier King Henry's Stairs Wapping High Street London E1 2NR</b>
Licence under review:	<b>Licensing Act 2003</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Sale by retail of alcohol</b></li> <li>• <b>Provisions of regulate entertainment</b></li> <li>• <b>Provisions of late night refreshment</b></li> </ul>
Review triggered by:	<b>Resident</b>
Representations:	<b>None</b>

## 2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Licensing Committee considers the application for review and then adjudicates accordingly.

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT 2000 (Section 97)**  
**LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE DRAFTING OF THIS REPORT**

Brief description of "background paper"

Tick if copy supplied for register

If not supplied, name and telephone number of holder

- Guidance Issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003
- Tower Hamlets Licensing Policy
- File

Lavine Miller-Johnson  
020 7364 2665

### 3.0 Review Application

- 3.1 This is an application for a review of the premises licence for (Silver Sockeye, AKA The Terrible Thames) Wapping Pier King Henry's Stairs Wapping High Street London E1 2NR. The review was triggered by a Resident.
- 3.2 A copy of the review application is attached in **Appendix 1**.
- 3.3 Evidence supporting review is attached in **Appendix 2**. Numbered as below:
1. The Terrible Thames (Sliver Sockeye) Premises licence
  2. Correspondence with Woods (Licensee)
  3. Correspondence with Tower Hamlets EPT
  4. Correspondence with Tower Hamlets Licensing
  5. Correspondence with Southwark Licensing
  6. LPHA policy on river noise
  7. An explanation of the way the Thames amplifies noise into the homes of residents.
  8. An explanation from a health professional about the ill-effects of noise coming from the river.
  9. Excel records showing the Terrible Thames complaints accruing from the Google Reporting Tool of the River Resident Group

### 4.0 The Premises

- 4.1 The premises licence was issued on 3rd December 2019 to Wood River Cruises Ltd Exchange at Somerset House Victoria Embankment London WC2R 1LA
- 4.2 A copy of the premises licence is contained in within the evidence supporting the review.
- 4.3 Maps showing the premises, surrounding area and vicinity of local residents are included in **Appendix 3**.

### 5.0 Representations

- 5.1 This hearing is required by the Licensing Act 2003, because a review has been triggered by a Local Resident.
- 5.2 Only representations that relate to the following licensing objectives are relevant:
- the prevention of crime and disorder
  - public safety
  - the prevention of public nuisance
  - the protection of children from harm

5.3 In the view of the responsible authorities it is necessary to achieve the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime & disorder.

## 6.0 Review Explained

6.1 The Licensing Act 2003 was described by the Government at the time as “light touch” but as Baroness Blackstone stated in the Lords at the time of the second reading (26 Nov 2002) “Local residents and businesses as well as expert bodies, will have the power to request that the licensing authority review existing licences where problems arise. Such a review could result in the modification of the licence, its suspension, or ultimately, revocation.”

6.2 The Home Office has issued guidance under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 in relation to reviews and that is contained in **Appendix 4**. It is available on the Government’s website, [www.homeoffice.gov.uk](http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk). It was last revised in April 2018.

6.3 Members are particularly asked to note the comments in relation to Public Nuisance. In particular the home office advice is that “The role of the licensing authority when determining such a review is not therefore to establish the guilt or innocence of any individual but to ensure that the prevention of public nuisance objective is promoted.”

6.4 In relation to its advice on representations the home office has also advised that “there is no requirement for an interested party or responsible authority to produce a recorded history of problems at a premises to support their representations.” It has also issued revised guidance about Public Nuisance. **See Appendix 5**.

6.5 Members should also note the Council’s Licensing Policy in relation to Public Nuisance, the relevant parts of which are contained in **Appendix 6**.

6.6 The Home Office has advised that in relation to reviews “Where authorised persons and responsible authorities have concerns about problems identified at premises, it is good practice for them to give licence holders early warning of their concerns and the need for improvement, and where possible they should advise the licence or certificate holder of the steps they need to take to address those concerns. A failure by the holder to respond to such warnings is expected to lead to a decision to apply for a review. Co-operation at a local level in promoting the licensing objectives should be encouraged and reviews should not be used to undermine this co-operation. ”

6.7 An interested party or a responsible authority can trigger a review at any time, but the grounds must be relevant to the licensing objectives. The

form of the application, and the advertisement of the review are the subject of regulations (The Licensing Act 2003 (Premises Licences and Club Premises Certificate) Regulations 2005). In addition, the licensing authority has to satisfy itself of certain matters in relation to the Licensing Act 2003. The Licensing & Safety Team Leader is the delegated officer who deals with this on behalf of the Licensing Authority. All the matters stated in 5.0 were considered before any representations were accepted for inclusion in this report.

6.8 The Licensing Act 2003 requires that the Licensing Authority satisfies itself that it should reject the grounds for a review because:

- The ground is not relevant to one or more of the licensing objectives
- In the case of an application by a local resident that the application is frivolous, vexatious or repetitious.

## 7.0 **Review Advertisement**

7.1 The review was advertised by a blue poster, next to the premises, by the Licensing Section. This was periodically monitored by the Section to ensure it was on continuous display, and replaced as necessary. It was also advertised at Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent, London E14 2BG.

7.2 The party that triggers the review must notify the licence holder and responsible authorities. The review documents were sent to the licence holders.

7.3 The procedure for a review can be summarised as follows:

- A review is triggered by a responsible authority or interested party
- Consultation is conducted for 28 full days
- Other responsible authorities or interested parties may join in the review
- Members conduct a hearing
- Members make a determination
- All the parties to the review have the right of appeal to the magistrates court (i.e. the licence holder, the person who triggered the review and those who have made a representation).

## 8.0 **Licensing Officer Comments**

8.1 When licence holders or designated premises supervisors move, leave a premises or dispose of their premises they remain responsible in law until they have informed the licensing authority and surrendered the licence or arranged a transfer, which may involve notification to the Police as well. Any licensees or designated premises supervisors who are not sure what to do should contact the licensing authority. Please note that should the DPS cease to work/be employed by the premises the Licensing Authority will consider that there is no DPS for those premises. This consideration shall apply regardless of whether that person is still named on the Premises Licence, or whether they have asked for their

name to be removed from it. This consideration shall apply until such time that an application is received to nominate a new premises supervisor. In such cases, the Licensing Authority shall expect no sales of alcohol to take place until an application to vary the DPS has been submitted to the Licensing Authority. (27.1 Licensing Policy)

8.2 Members must consider all the evidence and then decide from the following alternatives:

- modify the conditions of the premises licence (which includes adding new conditions or any alteration or omission of an existing condition), for example, by reducing the hours of opening or by requiring door supervisors at particular times;
- exclude a licensable activity from the scope of the licence, for example, to exclude the performance of live music or playing of recorded music (where it is not within the incidental live and recorded music exemption);
- remove the designated premises supervisor, for example, because they consider that the problems are the result of poor management;
- suspend the licence for a period not exceeding three months;
- revoke the licence.

8.3 The licence should only be suspended or revoked if Members believe that alterations to the existing licence, including imposing new conditions does not have a reasonable prospect of ensuring that the licensing objectives are met.

8.4 Members should bear in mind that conditions may not be imposed for any purpose other than to meet the licensing objectives.

8.5 In all cases the Members should make their decision on the civil burden of proof that is “the balance of probability.”

8.6 In all cases Members should consider whether or not primary legislation is the appropriate method of regulation and should only consider licence conditions when the circumstances in their view are not already adequately covered elsewhere.

## 9.0 **Legal Comments**

9.1 The Council’s legal officer will give advice at the hearing.

## 10.0 **Finance Comments**

10.1 There are no financial implications in this report.

## 11.0 **Appendices**

<b>Appendix 1</b>	Copy of the review application
<b>Appendix 2</b>	Supporting evidence ( <b>Pages 1-9 includes premises licence</b> )
<b>Appendix 3</b>	Maps of the premises and surrounding area
<b>Appendix 4</b>	Guidance issued under Section 182 by the Home Office for reviews
<b>Appendix 5</b>	Guidance Issued by the Home Office under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 concerning public nuisance
<b>Appendix 6</b>	London Borough of Tower Hamlets Licensing Policy in relation to the prevention of public nuisance