LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

MINUTES OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

HELD AT 6.31 P.M. ON MONDAY, 22 NOVEMBER 2021

ROOM C1, 1ST FLOOR, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE, 5 CLOVE CRESCENT, LONDON, E14 2BG

Members Present:

Councillor Mohammed Pappu (Chair)

Councillor Bex White (Vice-Chair)

Councillor Marc Francis Councillor Denise Jones

Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan

Councillor Andrew Wood

Scrutiny Lead Children's and Education

Scrutiny Lead for Health and Adults

Co-opted Members Present:

Halima Islam – Co-Optee James Wilson – Co-Optee

Other Councillors Present:

Mayor John Biggs

Councillor Asma Islam – (Cabinet Member for Environment and

Planning)

Councillor Candida Ronald – (Cabinet Member for Resources and the

Voluntary Sector)

Apologies:

Councillor Faroque Ahmed – Scrutiny Lead for Community Safety

Councillor Ehtasham Haque – Scrutiny Lead for Housing and

Regeneration

Councillor Leema Qureshi – Scrutiny Lead for Resources and Finance

(Director, Strategy, Improvement and

Transformation)

Will Tuckley – Chief Executive

Officers Present:

Sharon Godman

Kevin Bartle – (Interim Corporate Director, Resources and

Section 151 Officer)

Catherine Cooke – (Contract Development Manager)

Thorsten Dreyer – (Head of Intelligence and Performance)

Afazul Hoque – (Head of Corporate Strategy & Policy)

Dan Jones – (Director, Public Realm)

Oli Kapopo – (Access to Employment (Skills match)

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE, 22/11/2021

SECTION ONE (UNRESTRICTED)

Daniel Kerr – (Strategy and Policy Manager)

David Knight – (Democratic Services Officer, Committees,

Governance)

Denise Radley – (Corporate Director, Health, Adults &

Community)

James Thomas f

– (Corporate Director for Children & Culture)

Nisar Visram

– (Director of Finance, Procurement & Audit)

Richard Williams – Business Manager Operational PR

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from:

- 1. Halima Islam (Co-Opted Member); and
- 2. Councillor Asma Islam (Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning).

2. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST AND OTHER INTERESTS

The following Members for transparency declared a potential interest in relation to:

- 1. **Item 9 Pre-Decision Scrutiny Questions** Councillor Marc Francis due to his wife Councillor Rachel Blake being the Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Adults, Health and Wellbeing; and
- 2. **Item 8.1 Waste and Recycling Report** Councillor Denise Jones due to her being the owner a property in Brick Lane.

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

RESOLVED

That the unrestricted minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 25th October 2021 be approved as a correct record of the proceedings and the Chair was authorised to sign them accordingly.

MATTERS ARISING

4. CHAIRS UPDATE

Councillor Mohammed Pappu (Chair) provided the Committee with the following update:

The Chair:

- Informed the Committee that the latest COVID update information from public health had been circulated today.
- Advised the Committee that (i) he had posted a short video on Twitter to thank the residents for the contribution to the Spotlight session on liveable streets programme at the last meeting; (ii) indicated that it had been a useful and productive discussion and will looked forward to

reviewing the progress at a future date; (iii) had also written to Mayor Biggs on this subject putting forward the Committee's recommendations on the Liveable Streets Programme and shared these recommendations with Lead Member and Council officers; (iv) had asked Mayor Biggs to provide a written response to the recommendation which would be circulated to the Committee.

Advised Members that written advice had been provided as to how they can raise an issue with regard to a licensed premises to instigate a review because of potentially dangerous or irresponsible activities harming individuals, businesses, and communities.

5. ACTION LOG

❖ Noted that the Committee had requested further information on what the Council is planning to do with their physical assets and what the costs of these are either (i) direct; or (ii) income forgone. The Committee expressed concern that this issue had remained outstanding now for over a month and noted that officers had been instructed to pursue this issue for a response.

6. REQUESTS TO SUBMIT PETITIONS

Nil items.

7. FORTHCOMING DECISIONS

The Committee noted:

- 1. The most recent edition of the Forward Plan that had been published setting out information on all the Key Decisions that are expected to be taken at the next meeting; and
- 2. That future Forthcoming Decision Plans are available on the Cabinet web pages. In advance of being published on the new Plan, individual notices of new Key Decisions are published as soon as they are known and these are listed as New Issues.

8. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS 'CALLED IN'

Nil Items.

9. SCRUTINY SPOTLIGHT

9.1 Waste and Recycling

The Committee received a presentation on the waste and recycling covering service initiatives; current priorities and the priorities going forward. The protection of the environment and the health of the population is a key issue for the Borough's residential and businesses communities. The main points raised as a result of questioning maybe summarised as follows:

The Committee:

- ❖ Indicated that it wished to know (i) how waste and recycling is performing since the Service came back in-house; (ii) how the Service is responding to resident complaints (e.g. missed bin collections; flytipping contamination of recycling and issues with the underground waste storage systems over the more traditional wheeled bin system).
- ❖ Noted with regard to underground refuse service there have been issues with the specially adapted collection vehicles breaking down and to ensure that collections are made at every site, operatives have at times had to mix the general household waste and recycling collections which makes it difficult to segregate the general household waste from the recycling.
- ❖ In response it was noted that the report were in relation to general household waste and recycling collections and not the underground refuse service. The Committee was advised that that was not normal practice and would need the details of any report so that it could be investigated and resolved. Notwithstanding the indicated that the perception amongst some residents is that at times recycling is being taken away as general household waste.
- ❖ Noted that LBTH takes a zero-tolerance approach with those who illegally dump waste whether it is a business or an individual, it is unacceptable behaviour. The Strategy to address illegal waste is supported by an effective programme of communication on the deterrent and enforcement.
- ❖ **Noted** that wherever possible contaminated waste is marked up by the crews very clearly so that residents know this is contaminated recycling and will be picked within a 24-hour frame.
- * Noted that LBTH has recently launched a new scheme entitled "Champions Recycling Champions" it is aimed at anyone over 18 who lives in Tower Hamlets or works for a housing provider or community organisation in Tower Hamlets and is interested in improving recycling and reducing waste. The Recycling Champions help to spread the word about reducing, reusing, and recycling in Tower Hamlets! They are intended to make a positive contribution to their neighbourhood, help the environment, meet new people, and learn new things. The Champions remit therefore is really to encourage their peers and neighbours to recycle and reduce waste. There is also information on the website and those who want to take ownership of their neighbourhood and want to be involved can complete an online form, also LBTH is keen to be interactive and are looking at ways to communicate the message regarding waste and recycling.
- Was also advised that LBTH hold regular meetings with Borough's Housing Association's which helps to maintain a dialogue and the specific issue of additional charges has been raised and LBTH are working closely with housing providers on an individual basis to address any situations and challenges.
- Questioned the effectiveness of the Love Your Neighbourhood (LYN) app and noted that work is being undertaken to improve the app to ensure that it is fully functional alongside the website.

- ❖ Noted that the removal and disposal of illegally dumped waste is a growing problem in the Borough due to an apparent irresponsible behaviour by householders and business, which seems to indicate a general lack of understanding amongst the perpetrators that getting rid of these items properly can be time consuming and costly, and this kind of misconceived inconvenience often leads to waste crimes which is also costly to the Borough, wasting precious funds that could be beneficial to the local community.
- ❖ Noted the importance of the condition of any on street could be contributing to fly-tipping problems such as simply having more bins especially where there is a heavy footfall helps with the litter issue (e.g. the introduction of "smart bins" across the Borough that notifies the Public Realm Team when it's full, enabling it to be emptied it before it overflows.
- ❖ Welcomed the intention by LBTH to be more interactive with residents and businesses on issues such where would be a good location to install put "smart bins".
- ❖ Agreed that it is really important that LBTH continues to promote the right messages regarding recycling (e.g. residents can put their recycling into communal recycling bins LOOSE; put their recycling in the bin in ANY CLEAR plastic bag or that Idea Stores will now take SMALL ELECTRICAL items).
- ❖ Noted that as part of the Council's commitment to reduce single-use plastic, improve the quality of recycling and save money, LBTH plans to introduce reusable recycling bags to replace single use plastic bags for recycling collections. These new bags will reduce the amount of single use plastic in use, therefore lessening the impact on the local environment and the recycling market prefers to receive the material loose, so removing single use plastic bags should reduce processing cost.
- ❖ Noted that given the number of URS bins becoming inoperable across Tower Hamels LBTH have been liaising with the managing agents and advised them that they need to put in arrangements for their maintenance and repair of the URS bins.
- Noted that the managing agents do recognize that it is their responsibility to manage and maintain the URS bins.
- ❖ Noted that the incidents of fly-tipping is persistent across the Boroughs estates which is time consuming and expensive for us as on a daily basis LBTH is collecting three truckloads of dumped waste every single day Monday to Friday.
- ❖ Noted that fly tipping by businesses costs LBTH about £1.5 million a year in lost revenue.
- ❖ Noted that it is difficult for LBTH as a local authority to pick up waste on private land, although LBTH are in an ongoing dialogue with the managing agents on how best they can deal with the waste that has been dumped on their estates. However, that is something that needs continuous dialogue with managing agents, because it is their responsibility to pick up the waste that is being dumped around the URS bins. Which if it is not removed then as with other things that affect local environment quality, those areas subject to repeated fly-

- damages the environment, and impact on the quality of life on local estates.
- ❖ Was informed that in terms of resilience the Service currently has two URS Vehicles that are 68 plates, so they are just about two years old and these vehicles have got cranes on top of them to lift up the URS units. These cranes are very specialists with many moving parts and they have to lift 30 bins every single day per vehicle which means that there is bound to be a lot of stress on each crane. Therefore, the Service has increased the frequency from a three month to a monthly regime of maintenance. In addition, there is a fitter based at the depot who inspects the URS vehicles and their cranes on a daily basis to ensure that that they can have these vehicles deployed on a day-to-day basis. In addition, the Service has an older vehicle which has been taken out of service for refurbishment and the crane has also been made compliant and is scheduled to be back in service in the next few weeks.
- ❖ Noted that the Service has purchased a new vehicle but it takes approximately about a year and a half to put such vehicles together. As the body are obtained from a company in the Netherlands and the crane is source from another manufacture. The vehicle should be in service by January 2022 and the Service will have a full complement or vehicles so the Service should run without too challenges and situations.
- ❖ Expressed concern regarding the apparent poor maintenance of the Underground Refuse System [URS].
- Indicated that they supported LBTH working with the various managing agents to put robust maintenance agreements in place and then making sure that the repairs and maintenance regime is being followed.
- ❖ Noted that the URS bins are owned by the managing agents of a particular estate (e.g. Tower Hamlets Community Housing) who have to have in place a maintenance regime for the URS bins to address any damage that naturally and inevitably occurs as a result of normal wear or aging. Whilst damage as a result of crews from public realm will be addressed by LBTH. The assessment of any damage is undertaken by the supplier of the URS to indicate who is liable for the repairs.
- ❖ Noted that the Tower Hamlets Housing Forum have indicated that they have seen an immense improvement in the way that LBTH are dealing with. The URS bins and surface waste collections including any contingency arrangements should one of the current URS vehicles have been taken out of service for repair and maintenance.
- Observed that LBTH accepts that there are some issues with street cleansing and the Service is looking at those issues in terms of what they might be addressed.
- Noted that one of the one of the key issues that needs to be addressed is whether the Service has got the frequency of cleaning and enforcement right especially in those areas of the Borough with a vibrant night-time economy and after the Christmas period. In addition, the Service is not only looking at the route optimization but whether or

not additional vehicles are needed on those routes, or whether the Service has the right resources in the right places, or what additional resources are needed to be put in place (**e.g.** there is a need for really good supervision in place to make sure that people are doing what they are paid to do and to do it to a good standard).

- ❖ Understood that whilst LBTH has some very good team members who are doing a great job in very difficult conditions all year round there are some staff who require closer supervision.
- ❖ Expressed concern regarding the impact on the local community of the Reuse and Recycling Centre (RRC) in Yabsley St and the waste transfer station at Northumberland Wharf and wanted to know what LBTH are doing in terms of mitigating the concerns of residents (e.g. vehicles leaking fluids onto the highway; noise; or queueing traffic).
- ❖ Noted that these concerns are addressed but then are raised again in a few months' time. Therefore, LBTH need to make sure that there is proper and robust contract management right of those who manage the site to make sure they are on the case in terms of what they should be doing to minimize impact in that area (e.g. managing traffic; street cleansing and street washing in the summer).
- ❖ Noted that consideration also needs to be given to a longer-term solution (i.e. Is Yabsley Street still fit for purpose in terms of the Borough's growing population; do we need another site or do we need to relocate the site).

Following a full and wide-ranging discussion, the Chair thanked all those Committee Members in attendance together with (i) Councillor Asma Islam (Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning); (ii) Dan Jones (Director, Public Realm); (iii) Catherine Cooke (Contract Development Manager); (iv) Oli Kapopo (Access to Employment (Skillsmatch); and (v) Richard Williams Business Manager Operational PR for their contributions to what had been a really positive discussion on this critical issue.

Accordingly, the Committee resolved that:

- 1. contamination of waste and recycling needs to be investigated as impact on residents confidence.
- there is a need to increase profile of Recycling Champions as no one seems to have heard of the new programme. It was also felt that it is important that Members are engaging in promoting this scheme given their wide reach to local communities and can support engagement from residents.
- 3. it was important to get clarity on what additional costs housing providers are incurring on waste removal and are being charged to leaseholders.
- 4. it needs to be communicated to residents that idea stores can drop off small electrical items.
- 5. whilst they welcomed the investment in the URS vehicles fleet to increase capacity they wanted to continue to receive updates on the operation of the URS fleet as it continues to cause significant issues on local estates.

- 6. given the Boroughs growing population and the programme of regeneration it was important to ensure that LBTH are planning for now and future needs.
- in regard to street cleansing there is a need to need to prioritize including reviewing if the frequency of street cleaning is right and if LBTH have the right resources at the right place and if additional resources is needed.
- 8. need to look at the (i) impact of Reuse and Recycling Centre (RRC) in Yabsley St and waste transfer station at Northumberland Wharf on local residents; (ii) contract with the sites management; (iii) future mitigations as well and if this is still the right location to have that such facilities and should LBTH relocate these facilities.
- 9. Officers should be commended on having delivered food waste recycling into the Bow and is a really positive first step in the roll out of further food waste recycling.
- 10. LBTH needs to improve performance in regard to contaminated recycling.
- 11. Missed collections are then being followed up within 24 hours and to receive more data on that that shows is being achieved.
- 12. LBTH and the Housing Providers look at the appropriate placement of bins, (i.e. not simply place them in front of residents front doors).
- 13. The whole collection process in relation to the URS bins is the subject of regular scrutiny.
- 14. With regard to enforcement LBTH should be willing to be more robust than in the past (**e.g.** LBTH should publicise any successful court cases so people know that there are real penalties); and
- 15. On housing development where paladins are used for recycling the housing management need to know that there will be penalties if the paladins are contaminated and that they need to ensure that their tenants and leaseholders know that.

10. PERFORMANCE MONITORING

10.1 Strategic delivery and performance reporting - Q2 2021/22

The Committee received a report that provided an update on the Council's performance against the performance indicators included in the Councils Strategic Plan in quarter 2 of 2021/22. The main points of the discussion and answers to questions raised may be summarised as follows.

The Committee:

❖ Noted that since the meeting in September 2021 the Chair had received a further update from the Service on the Committees requests for the strategic target measures and performance information. However, the requested format does not seem to meet the legal requirements in terms of the current internet accessibility standards for online publications. Accordingly, the Chair has requested that the Service provide the Committee with a separate copy of the quarterly performance report in the requested format.

- Noted that the Service has confirmed that they will provide a separate copy to the Committee with the indicator tables scaled onto one page and this has been circulate offline so Members should have received a reformatted copy to the one that in under public domain.
- ❖ Noted that there are eight regeneration outcomes which are set out in the Local Plan and the Council is scoring strategic planning permissions out of eight. In this quarter three strategic planning applications had been permitted which meant that LBTH had exceeded the target of achieving 5 of the 8 regeneration outcomes.
- ❖ **Noted** that regeneration benefits from strategic planning permissions can often take several years to realise due to the length of time it can take to complete these developments.
- ❖ Noted that corporately these highly complex applications having been discussed for many months or in some cases possibly for several years on major development sites and the view had been taken that overall the benefits as against the disbenefits in terms of regeneration outcomes had meant that they were viable. In addition, the developers when submitting any proposals know not to include any element that would be considered as a fundamental objection.
- ❖ **Noted** that in regard to Long term looked after children who are in stable placements (**Measure No. 14**) the Authority is assured that no children had been put at risk put additional risk and the performance on this measure is just below the minimum target and has improved in the last month and is moving from Red to Amber.
- ❖ **Noted** that there has been a significant increase in demand for longterm fostering matches which has increased pressure on the Service. Accordingly, agreement has been given for an additional number of social work posts to be recruited to help manage that pressure on a time limited basis although it is proving very difficult to find locum social workers of the right calibre.
- ❖ Was **advised** that almost every child subject to protection plan is residing within the Borough whilst there are a very small number that have just moved outside of Tower Hamlets, although if they are moving to another local authority area, then there's a well-established process to transfer responsibility to that local authority.
- ❖ Was **informed** concerning (**Measure 15**) Young people engaging with the youth offer who achieve a recorded outcome that whilst not all of the provider contracts that LBTH expected to be using at this point are set up yet the majority of the new contracts have been awarded and the new providers with contracts are currently in place.
- ❖ Noted that concerning the specialists youth provision in respect of children with special educational needs and disabilities, LGBTQI and those in transition between primary and secondary schools. There has been a legal challenge that has prevented awarding two contracts but the Service is confident that it will be in a position to make those awards within three weeks from now.
- ❖ Understood that in respect of development and the stability of our social work workforce LBTH continues to be in a better position year on year we now are down to 14% of the social work workforce being

- locum social workers. Which is better than the national average by some considerable margin and better than the London average.
- ❖ Noted that neighbouring boroughs are all having more severe pressures and difficulty in recruitment and retention than LBTH. Although it is important to keep that momentum going and the Service target is to get below 10% of locum social workers which really would indicate that LBTH is the employer of choice for this area of London.
- ❖ Was advised that in terms of foster carers there has been a slightly improving position through the course of this year and LBTH now has more in-house foster carers now that back in April 2021.
- Understood that it is a highly competitive field between fostering agencies and this is a real challenge for all inner London Boroughs, in particular because of housing costs and the need for foster carers to have additional space although the Service works well with housing colleagues to give larger properties to foster carers and there is where LBTH cannot meet the needs of a particular child through an inhouse foster, carer.
- ❖ Observed that with regard to Measure 53 (Victims of violence against women and girls who feel safer after engaging with victim support) the primary reason for a drop in performance is a direct result of not having sufficient satisfaction surveys returned.
- ❖ Agreed that it was important to look at the longer-term sense of safety and that it is about aftercare and can the Service do better in terms of following up with these victims of violence through casework.

Following a full and wide-ranging discussion, the Chair thanked all those Committee Members in attendance together with (i) John Biggs, Executive Mayor; (ii) Denise Radley (Corporate Director, Health, Adults & Community) (iii) James Thomas, (Corporate Director for Children & Culture) (iv) Thorsten Dreyer, Head of Intelligence and Performance for their contributions to the discussions on this critical issue.

In addition, the Chair indicated that this scrutiny session had been most informative with the questions asked and the responses received in particular the challenges in regard to: (i) the recruitment and retention of social workers; and (ii) young people engaging with the youth offer.

11. BUDGET MONITORING REPORT 2021-22 PERIOD 6

This report presents the budget monitoring report 2021-22 as of 30th September 2021 (period 6) for the General Fund, Dedicated Schools Budget (DSB), Housing Revenue Account (HRA) progress made against savings targets and the Council's capital programme. It also provided projections on General Fund earmarked reserves and the forecast impacts of Covid on the Councils finances in 2021-22. A summary of the discussions and questions raised is set out below:

The Committee:

- ❖ Noted that they were to have reviewed the MTFS refresh and Fees and Charges items this month, however these have now been delayed and subsequently moved to the January 2022 Budget meeting which adds further time constraints on the Committee to be able to effectively scrutinise the Budget process.
- ❖ Was reminded that addressing the Budget process early has been an issue for the Committee throughout the years and noted the Chairs disappointment that it has now had to be moved to January 2022. However, the Committees work programme has been revised to accommodate this change.
- ❖ **Observed** that this is the half year budget monitoring report setting out how the Council is managing its Budget within the impact of Covid.
- ❖ Noted that the overall position on the general Fund after the application of reserves is a forecast deficit on the year of £300,000, although there are some worrying variances and that position is supported by £19.6 million of extra funding. Whilst the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) is forecasting a small underspend on the year and the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) an overspend of £1.4 million. The Directorate positions are that Housing is forecasting an overspend of £2.3 million; Children's an overspend of £1.6 million; Resources and Governance a net overspend of £1 million and Place an underspend of 1.5 million.
- ❖ Observed that regarding the reserves position is £20 million is the required minimum for the general fund and in addition £98 million in earmarked funds with restrictions, although a significant percentage of that is the collection fund smoothing reserve, which includes grants received in advance. We have another £75 million in earmarked funds, reducing to 70 million by year end. That sounds like a lot, but I remind you that expenditure is running at £19 million over budget, and in that context £70 million does not sound like such a significant cushion. The HRA position will see a call upon reserves of £1.4 million, leaving £50.9 million at year end. With regard to savings, the Budget was originally £28.9 million and this has been revised down to £20 million with £7.5 million forecasts to slip into future years and £1.4 million unachievable.
- ❖ Noted that every year, but it becomes progressively more difficult to deliver savings and capital expenditure also foresees a certain amount of slippage into future years. However, despite some slippage, LBTH is transforming the Borough through the capital programme the high streets and town centres, environmental projects, new Council homes, public realm improvements, Whitechapel is the gateway to the Borough, new schools, new medical centres, and new parks.
- ❖ Noted that through the Capital Strategy Board LBTH is looking at outlay on leisure centres through the capital approval process and proposals are being put forward for significant investment in the LBTH leisure estate.
- ❖ Commented that as the Consumer Prices Index (CPI) has seen a significant increase in 2020/21 and it is now at 3.8% the highest for 10 years. Therefore, how has this been factored into the forecasts and what the impact might be going forward? In response based on the current forecasts the increase is set to continue into the first quarter of

- the next financial year and then kind of stabilize this has been built into the medium-term financial strategy although that it is not expected to have a substantial adverse effects in terms of LBTH budgets for this particular financial year.
- ❖ Noted that the breakdown of the Government's most recent spending decision announcements until the 14th of December, so there is still uncertainty around what the funding levels will actually be. However, LBTH has been able to make some assumptions that are based on the overall national position that has been announced which gives LBTH a greater degree of certainty.
- ❖ Commented that the report states it is important to note that the reserves position of the Council is uncertain pending the closure of this statement of accounts for the period 2016 to 21. In response it was noted that whilst the accounts are with the Councils auditors the latest forecast position is a relatively neutral impact on the general fund position although that could be subject to change.

In conclusion, the Chair **thanked** all those Committee Members in attendance together with (i) Councillor Candida Ronald (Cabinet Member for Resources and the Voluntary Sector); (ii) Nisar Visram Director of Finance, Procurement and Audit, Finance; and (iii) Kevin Bartle (Interim Corporate Director, Resources and Section 151 Officer) for their contributions to the deliberations prior to the Budget Consultation on 13th December 2021.

12. SCRUTINY CHALLENGE SESSION

12.1 Swimming Provision In the Borough

The Committee received a report sets out the findings and seven recommendations from the Scrutiny Challenge Session on swimming provision in the Borough. A summary of the discussion including the points raised by Members questions may be summarised as follows:

The Committee:

- ❖ Noted that Covid-19 Pandemic has highlighted the challenges that residents face with accessing local swimming pools. It also magnified local health inequalities with children in Tower Hamlets more likely to be overweight or obese at year 6 than in London or England. Compliance with Covid-19 safety measure such as shielding or self-isolating has acted as a catalyst to an increase in loneliness, sedentary lifestyle and a lack of regular physical activity and exercise.
- ❖ Observed that Swim England suggests that a single 25 metre pool can generate £7.2 million in social value in community savings and also save the NHS and social care more than £1.2 million. They have also warned that nationally 90% of 7- to 11- year-olds are failing to meet minimum standards that have been brought in for swimming lessons outside of school. Swimming is considered as an essential life skill that, in extreme circumstances, can make the difference between life and

- death and moreover, it provides a means to rehabilitate people who have been injured aiding their recovery.
- ❖ Noted that some of the Leisure Centres are over 40 years old the costs had escalated because of the lack of investment in refurbishment over the years and the local resident felt that the local pools are not properly managed or maintained. Whilst ongoing reductions in the Council budget has impacted further on the sports and physical activity service. Therefore, the report asks that the Mayor reviews the budget so that swimming provisions can be accommodated and some of the key barriers faced by schools is addressed e.g. headteachers commented that accessing swimming includes travel time and it's very important that swimming pools are near to schools so that pupils actually do not lose learning time e.g. it can take about three to four hours for children from Wapping to go to Poplar and back which is far too long.
- ❖ Noted that the report recommends that: (i) the Council develops a long-term capital investment strategy for leisure centres to ensure that they can be maintained to good standard, including where major refurbishments are needed; (ii) Greenwich Leisure should look at how currently schools could be helped to without travel. Oh provision at the moment and you know whilst they're going to, the two pools are open.
- ❖ Noted with disappointment the Scrutiny Panel had not been provided with the Leisure Centre review on assets that had been conducted and it is very hard to comment on that review at the moment.
- ❖ Concerned that that each time it was recommended that urgent repairs should be carried out at a leisure centres they had not been undertaken. Therefore is this the same at all the Boroughs other leisure centres?
- Concerned to learn that the Scrutiny Panel was not involved in the consultation on the procurement of new leisure contract.
- Wanted to know how the Council engage with residents who do not go online and look at the Council website.
- Concerned that there had been a lack of investment in the leisure centres accept if there had been an emergency.
- ❖ **Noted** that some of the swimming teachers working at the Borough's leisure centres are absolutely brilliant at what they do, they work hard to encourage children to learn and they are fully engaged and instil enthusiasm in their pupils. However, during the scrutiny session there were some issues raised about cleanliness of the changing rooms in leisure centres and the temperature of the pools.
- ❖ Commented that the Council's own monitoring of the performance around cleanliness is something that (i) councillors need to scrutinise; (ii) officers responsible should be alive to; and (iii) GLL need to explain why they are apparently underperforming.
- Agreed that whilst it was happy to sign off on this report the conversation on performance needs to continue alongside the Scrutiny Challenge Session report

Accordingly the Overview and Scrutiny Committee formally::

- 1. **noted** the Overview and Scrutiny Challenge Session Report and agree the recommendations contained within the report; and
- 2. **agreed** to submit the report to the Mayor and Cabinet for an executive response to the recommendations.

13. UPDATES FROM SCRUTINY LEADS

The Committee received and noted the updates submitted and also received the following verbal update from the Chair on behalf of Councillor Faroque Ahmed on the recent parking challenge session which explored the impact of specific influences such as Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) expansion, car free developments, Permit Transfer Scheme, hotspot areas and the switch to electric vehicles as we try to tackle the climate emergency. This challenge session provided an opportunity to scrutinise the sustainability of the council's approach to parking and traffic management. It is clear from the evidence heard that parking is an integral element of not only the transport strategy but also many of the other council's priorities and aspirations. The Committee has made seven recommendations and hopes that the Mayor and Cabinet take these forward and work with Overview and Scrutiny to ensure that the council has a robust parking and traffic management policy for years to come.

14. PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF UNRESTRICTED CABINET PAPERS

Following comments by the Committee the Pre-Decision Scrutiny Questions (PDSQ) Members **agreed** the particular questions/recommendations that they wanted to raise with Cabinet on the 20^{th of} September 2021 (**See attached appendix**).

15. ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR CONSIDERS TO BE URGENT

Councillor Francis raised the following:

Victoria Park

Further to minute No. 13 (25th October 2021 refers) regarding the commercial contracts for events in Victoria Park Councillor Francis indicated that this decision has had a significant impact on communities living or working in that area and therefore should have been a key decision and not made under delegated authority. Therefore, it would be helpful if the Committee could have a note explaining why this was not seemed to be a key decision.

With no other business to discuss the Chair called this meeting to a close; thanked all those attending for their contributions and informed the Committee that the next meeting would be on Monday, 13th of December 2021.

16. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

As the agenda circulated contained no exempt/confidential reports and there was therefore no requirement to exclude the press and public to allow for its consideration.

17. ANY OTHER EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR CONSIDERS URGENT

Nil items.

The meeting ended at 9.13 p.m.

Chair, Councillor Mohammed Pappu Overview & Scrutiny Committee