Committee:	Date:	Classification:	Report No.	Agenda Item No.
Licensing Sub-Committee	9 th November 2021	UNRESTRICTED		NO.

Report of: David Tolley

Head of Environmental Health & Trading

Standards

Originating Officer: **Kathy Driver**

Principal Licensing Officer

Title: Licensing Act 2003

Application to Review the Premises Licence for Oval

Space, 29-32 The Oval, London E2 9DT

Ward affected: St Peters

1.0 **Summary**

Name and Oval Space
Address of premises: 29-32 The Oval

London E2 9DT

Licence under review: Licensing Act 2003

Sale by retail of alcoholRegulated entertainmentLate night refreshment

Representations: Metropolitan Police

- Environmental Protection

2.0 **Recommendations**

2.1 That the Licensing Committee considers the application for review and then adjudicates accordingly.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT 2000 (Section 97)
LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE DRAFTING OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of "background paper"

Tick if copy supplied for register

If not supplied, name and telephone number of holder

 Guidance Issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003

Tower Hamlets Licensing Policy

File

Kathy Driver 020 7364 5171

3.0 Review Application

- 3.1 This is an application for a review of the premises licence for The Oval Space, 29-32 The Oval, London E2 9DT. The review was triggered by Metropolitan Police on 26th July 2021.
- 3.2 A copy of the review application is attached in **Appendix 1**,
- 3.3 Supplementary evidence from Police was submitted on 22nd October 2021. See **Appendix 2.**

4.0 The Premises

4.1 The premises licence was issued on 4th September 2012. A copy of the licence is contained in **Appendix 3**.
 A transfer was submitted on 13th July 2021 to transfer the licence from

A transfer was submitted on 13th July 2021 to transfer the licence from Joel Hughes to Oval Venues Ltd, company number 08501737.

On 22nd October 2021 a variation of Designated Premises Supervisor was submitted for Ross Melinn, for immediate effect. The Designated Premises Supervisor previous to this was Archie McIntosh who has been in place since 9th July 2021, prior to this was Daniel Stocks from 17th October 2018.

4.2 Maps showing the premises, surrounding area and vicinity of local residents are included in **Appendix 4**.

5.0 Representations

- 5.1 This hearing is required by the Licensing Act 2003, because a review has been triggered by Metropolitan Police
- 5.2 The review is also supported by Environmental Protection. See **Appendix 5**.
- 5.3 Only representations that relate to the following licensing objectives are relevant:
 - the prevention of crime and disorder
 - public safety
 - the prevention of public nuisance
 - the protection of children from harm
- 5.4 In the view of the interested party and the responsible authorities it is necessary to achieve the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance and prevention of crime & disorder

6.0 Review Explained

- 6.1 The Licensing Act 2003 was described by the Government at the time as "light touch" but as Baroness Blackstone stated in the Lords at the time of the second reading (26 Nov 2002) "Local residents and businesses as well as expert bodies, will have the power to request that the licensing authority review existing licences where problems arise. Such a review could result in the modification of the licence, its suspension, or ultimately, revocation."
- 6.2 The Home Office has issued guidance under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 in relation to reviews and that is contained in **Appendix 6.** It is available on the Government's website, www.homeoffice.gov.uk. It was last revised in April 2018.
- 6.3 Members are particularly asked to note the comments in relation Crime and Disorder. In particular the home office advice is that "The role of the licensing authority when determining such a review is not therefore to establish the guilt or innocence of any individual but to ensure that the crime prevention objective is promoted."
- 6.4 In relation to its advice on representations the home office has also advised that "there is no requirement for an interested party or responsible authority to produce a recorded history of problems at a premises to support their representations." It has also issued revised guidance about Crime and Disorder. **See Appendix 7**.
- 6.5 Members should also note the Council's Licensing Policy in relation to Crime and Disorder, the relevant parts of which are contained in Appendix 8.
- 6.6 The home office has also issued guidance about the prevention of public nuisance this is contained in **Appendix 9.**
- 6.7 The Council's Licensing Policy in relation to Public Nuisance is contained in **Appendix 10**.
- 6.8 The Home Office has advised that in relation to reviews "Where authorised persons and responsible authorities have concerns about problems identified at premises, it is good practice for them to give licence holders early warning of their concerns and the need for improvement, and where possible they should advise the licence or certificate holder of the steps they need to take to address those concerns. A failure by the holder to respond to such warnings is expected to lead to a decision to apply for a review. Co-operation at a local level in promoting the licensing objectives should be encouraged and reviews should not be used to undermine this co-operation."

- 6.9 An interested party or a responsible authority can trigger a review at any time, but the grounds must be relevant to the licensing objectives. The form of the application, and the advertisement of the review are the subject of regulations (The Licensing Act 2003 (Premises Licences and Club Premises Certificate) Regulations 2005). In addition, the licensing authority has to satisfy itself of certain matters in relation to the Licensing Act 2003. The Licensing & Safety Team Leader is the delegated officer who deals with this on behalf of the Licensing Authority. All the matters stated in 5.0 were considered before any representations were accepted for inclusion in this report.
- 6.10 The Licensing Act 2003 requires that the Licensing Authority satisfies itself that it should reject the grounds for a review because:
 - The ground is not relevant to one or more of the licensing objectives
 - In the case of an application by a local resident that the application is frivolous, vexatious or repetitious.

7.0 Review Advertisement

- 7.1 The review was advertised by a blue poster, next to the premises, by the Licensing Section. This was periodically monitored by the Section to ensure it was on continuous display, and replaced as necessary. It was also advertised at Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent, London E14 2BG.
- 7.2 The party that triggers the review must notify the licence holder and responsible authorities. The review documents were sent to the licence holders.
- 7.3 The procedure for a review can be summarised as follows:
 - A review is triggered by a responsible authority or interested party
 - Consultation is conducted for 28 full days
 - Other responsible authorities or interested parties may join in the review
 - Members conduct a hearing
 - Members make a determination
 - All the parties to the review have the right of appeal to the magistrates court (i.e. the licence holder, the person who triggered the review and those who have made a representation).

8.0 Licensing Officer Comments

- 8.1 The Governments advice in relation to reviews is contained in **Appendix 6.** Members must consider all the evidence and then decide from the following alternatives:
 - Take no further action as they do not consider it proportionate to do so

- Impose conditions (including altering existing permissions) that relate to problems which they consider have been identified and which are necessary and proportionate to ensure that the licensing objectives are met
- Suspend the licence for a period
- Revoke the licence completely
- 8.2 The licence should only be suspended or revoked if Members believe that alterations to the existing licence, including imposing new conditions does not have a reasonable prospect of ensuring that the licensing objectives are met.
- 8.3 Members should bear in mind that conditions may not be imposed for any purpose other than to meet the licensing objectives.
- 8.4 In all cases the Members should make their decision on the civil burden of proof that is "the balance of probability."
- 8.5 In all cases Members should consider whether or not primary legislation is the appropriate method of regulation and should only consider licence conditions when the circumstances in their view are not already adequately covered elsewhere.

9.0 Legal Comments

9.1 The Council's legal officer will give advice at the hearing.

10.0 Finance Comments

10.1 There are no financial implications in this report.

11.0 Appendices

Appendix 1 Copy of the review application

Appendix 2 Supplementary evidence from Police

Appendix 3 Current Premises Licence

Appendix 4 Maps of the premises and surrounding area

Appendix 5 Representations from Environmental Protection

Appendix 6 Guidance issued under Section 182 by the Home

Office for reviews

Appendix 7 Guidance Issued by the Home Office under

Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 concerning

Crime and Disorder

Appendix 8 London Borough of Tower Hamlets Licensing

Policy in relation to the prevention of Crime and

Disorder

Appendix 9 Guidance Issued by the Home Office under

Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 concerning

Public Nuisance

Appendix 10 London Borough of Tower Hamlets Policy in

relation to the prevention of Public Nuisance

Appendix 11 Supporting documents submitted on behalf of the

premises licence holder.