LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL

HELD AT 7.00 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, 20 JANUARY 2021

ONLINE 'VIRTUAL' MEETING - HTTPS://TOWERHAMLETS.PUBLIC-I.TV/CORE/PORTAL/HOME

Members Present:

Mayor John Biggs Councillor Faroque Ahmed Councillor Rajib Ahmed Councillor Sabina Akhtar Councillor Sufia Alam Councillor Amina Ali Councillor Shah Ameen Councillor Asma Begum Councillor Rachel Blake Councillor Kevin Brady Councillor Mufeedah Bustin Councillor Kahar Chowdhury Councillor Shad Chowdhury Councillor Dipa Das Councillor David Edgar Councillor Marc Francis Councillor Peter Golds Councillor Ehtasham Haque Councillor Danny Hassell Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain

Councillor Asma Islam Councillor Sirajul Islam Councillor Denise Jones Councillor Rabina Khan
Councillor Tarik Khan
Councillor James King
Councillor Eve McQuillan
Councillor Ayas Miah
Councillor Harun Miah
Councillor Puru Miah

Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE
Councillor Victoria Obaze
Councillor Mohammed Pappu
Councillor Kyrsten Perry
Councillor John Pierce
Councillor Leema Qureshi
Councillor Zenith Rahman
Councillor Candida Ronald

Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan Councillor Dan Tomlinson

Councillor Helal Uddin Councillor Abdal Ullah Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman Councillor Val Whitehead Councillor Andrew Wood

Speaker of the Council, Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain in the Chair

The Speaker of the Council provided an update of his activities.

Since the last Council meeting, the Speaker had taken part in virtual events and meetings being held by community organisations and the Bangladesh High Commission. He looked forward to celebrating the anniversary of Bangladesh Independence later in the month in line with restrictions. Due to the current situation, however, he had mainly focused his efforts on encouraging the community to stay safe .

With great sadness, he also reflected on the loss of many residents, community leaders, people working to support the community including in our schools and loved ones due to COVID in Tower Hamlets. Like many in the

borough and fellow colleagues, he had personally lost close friends and relatives. The Speaker stated that the Council's thoughts and prayers were with their families and that he wished to pass on their deepest condolences.

At the request of the Speaker, the Council observed a minutes silence in memory of those who had been affected.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of:

Councillor Bex White

2. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND OTHER INTERESTS

Regarding Motion 12.1 relating to Care Full Pay, the Speaker noted that a number of Members had recorded membership of the GMB Union in their register of interests. Whilst he noted this declaration, he had been advised that all Members may participate in the debate and vote on this item. (This motion was not debated due to lack of time)

Councillor Kahar Chowdhury declared a Non - Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (Non DPI) in Agenda item, 12.1 Motion regarding Care Full Pay. This was on the basis of his wife's employment in the care sector (although she was not directly impacted by the motion content). He also declared a Non DPI in Agenda Item 8, Opposition Motion for debate from the Conservative Group regarding Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO). The Councillor declared this on the basis that he might apply for a HMO licence in the future. He indicated that he would leave the meeting for the consideration of this items

Councillor Denise Jones declared a Non - DPI in the following agenda items. This was on the basis that she had a small business in the Borough:

- Agenda Item 7, Administration Motion for debate regarding the Government must protect businesses and livelihoods in Tower Hamlets
- Agenda Item 12.2, Motion regarding Working from Home threat to the finances of Tower Hamlets

Councillor Ayas Miah declared a Non – DPI in Agenda Item 7, Administration Motion for debate - regarding the Government must protect businesses and livelihoods in Tower Hamlets. This was on the basis that his brother had a small business in the Borough. He also declared a Non - DPI in Agenda Item 8, Opposition Motion for Debate from the Conservative Group - regarding Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO). The Councillor declared this on the basis that he intended to apply for an HMO licence.

Councillor Motin Uz - Zaman declared a Non - DPI in Agenda Item 7, Administration Motion for debate - regarding the Government must protect businesses and livelihoods in Tower Hamlets. This was on the basis that he had a business. He also declared a Non - DPI in Agenda Item 8, Opposition

Motion for Debate from the Conservative Group - regarding Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO). The Councillor declared this on the basis that he held an HMO licence.

Councillor Val Whitehead declared a Non – DPI interest in Agenda Item 7, Administration Motion for Debate - regarding the Government must protect businesses and livelihoods in Tower Hamlets. This was on the basis that she had a small business in the Borough. She indicated that would leave the meeting for the consideration of this item

The following Councillors also declared a Non - DPI interest in Agenda Item 8, Opposition Motion for Debate from the Conservative Group - regarding Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO) as they or a partner held a HMO licence:

- Councillor Asma Islam (partner held a HMO licence). Absent from the meeting for the voting on this item.
- Councillor Leema Qureshi owned properties and may apply for a HMO licence in the future
- Councillor Zenith Rahman.
- Councillor Helal Uddin (wife held an HMO licence). He was absent from the meeting for the vote on this item.
- Councillor Abdul Ullah (partner held an HMO licence). Indicated that he would not participate in the debate and the vote on this item.

3. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

1. That the unrestricted minutes of the Meeting of the Council held on Wednesday 18th November 2020 be confirmed as a correct record and the Speaker be authorised to sign them accordingly.

4. TO RECEIVE ANNOUNCEMENTS (IF ANY) FROM THE SPEAKER OF THE COUNCIL OR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

The Speaker reported details of the revised Budget Council meeting date (to be moved to Thursday 4th March 2021 (from 24th February 2021), due to the impact of the pandemic and delays in the announcement of the Local Government Finance Settlement. The reserve Budget Council meeting would move to Wednesday 10th March 2021 (from 4th March 2021)

The Chief Executive provided an update on the following:

- Once again, he recognised the work of Council staff during the pandemic and thanked them for their continuing efforts in keeping the community safe.
- He reported on the Council's ongoing work with the NHS to roll out the vaccinee programme as effectively as possible in Tower Hamlets.

- Reported that the latest Investors in People assessment, involving staff engagement, took place at the end of last year. The Council had shown signs of significant improvement and gained a strong Silver Award.
- Turning to staffing issues, Steve Hill had retired from the Council. He thanked Steve for all his contributions to the Council's Benefits service. Christine McInnes, Divisional Director Education & Partnership was due to leave the Council next month and he wished her good luck in her new role at Kent County Council
- Regarding the Senior Management Review, it was noted that the report (at agenda item 9) covered elements of the review and that progress was underway with recruiting to posts.
- Turning to a very serious matter, he referred to today's ruling by the Health and Safety Executive arising from the sad death of Alexia Walenkaki in Mile End park in July 2015. The Council had received a fine of £330k. He passed on his apologies to the family for this terrible loss and expressed profound regret at the loss of Alexia in a park where she should have been safe.

5. TO RECEIVE PETITIONS

5.1 Petition regarding Improve the safety and amenity of the Thames Path at the gate to KEMP (King Edward Memorial Park)

Hazel Parker- Brown addressed the meeting on behalf of the petitioners, and responded to questions from Members. Councillor Sabina Akhtar, Cabinet Member for Culture, Arts and Brexit then responded to the petition.

She thanked the Petitioner for attending the meeting and stated that the Council noted the importance of improving the quality of streets and addressing concerns people raised. A number of different agencies managed the Thames Pathway, including the Free Trade Wharf Group and the Port of London Authority. However, the Council's Project Manager worked closely with these agencies, responsible for the improvement programme.

She undertook to keep the Petitioners up to date on the discussions on the improvements plans, and the availability of Council funding for the works.

RESOLVED:

1. That the petition be referred to the Corporate Director, Place, for a written response within 28 days.

5.2 Petition regarding Stop the trial of all the time bus lanes

Azad Miah addressed the meeting on behalf of the petitioners and responded to questions from Members. Mayor John Biggs then responded to the matters raised in the petition. The Mayor provided an update on the Council's approach to responding to the changes introduced by TfL, including the work to engage with residents and businesses to understand their concerns and ensure they were not disadvantaged.

The Mayor expressed an intention to ensure that the Council continued to engage with the community and to make representations to TfL about the changes to ensure the Borough's views were taken into account.

RESOLVED:

1. That the petition be referred to the Corporate Director, Place for a written response within 28 days.

5.3 Petition regarding stop the structurally discriminating consultation process on Liveable street programme

Mohammad Rakib addressed the meeting on behalf of the petitioners, and responded to questions from Members. The Council debated the issues and Mayor John Biggs responded to the matters raised in the Petition. He thanked the Petitioner for his contributions and passed on his best wishes to the representatives who were unable to attend the meeting.

The Mayor reflected on the key issues raised, from his point of view, during the debate regarding: the adequacy of the consultation exercise (in terms of contacting those with protected characteristics), equalities issues and the wider concerns with the proposals. Whilst he was of the view that the issues had already been taken into account, he expressed a commitment to further review these issues and to produce a further written response to the Petition.

During the debate, Councillor Rabina Khan **moved** and Councillor Andrew Wood **seconded** a motion (as set out below).

This Council notes:

- 1. The petition presented to the full council by residents, which has gathered over 2,100 signatures stating that they have been discriminated against by the Liveable Streets' Contractor, PCL Consult.
- 2. The petition and the residents supporting the petition state that they have been directly and indirectly discriminated against on the basis of their protective characteristics of race, faith, gender, disability, age and socio-economic inequalities.
- 3. That the petition represents not a single isolated incident, but over 2,500 individual complaints covering all demographics and all geographic areas that make up the diverse community of Tower Hamlets. Representing institutional and systematic discrimination by PCL Consult, the Tower Hamlets Council contractor.
- 4. That protective characteristics are protected by law under the Equality Act of 2010 and Tower Hamlets Council has a Public Sector Equality Duty to ensure that its residents are not discriminated against on the basis of their protective characteristics.
- 5. The MacPherson principles of the Stephen Lawrence Inquiry, which states that all complaints about incidents of racism should be recorded and investigated as such when they are perceived by the complainant or someone else as acts of racism.

- 6. The Equality Act 2010 incorporates the MacPherson Principle to cover all protected characteristics in the Act
- 7. In 2020, the following related petitions were on the Council's website:
 - 2,127 signatures so far on the Council website for the petition "Stop the structurally discriminating consultation process on Liveable Streets" programme, which ends on 31st January 2021
 - 1,724 signatures for a "Petition to allow residents' vehicles and taxis access through the Wapping Bus Gate during operating times"
 - 1,668 signatures for "Stop The Burdett Road Entrapment!"
 - 514 signatures for a petition to "Re-Open Old Ford Road"
 - 2,365 signatures to "Get the local council to stop using the blunt instrument of road closures to stop rat running through Tower Hamlets"
 - That these are the largest petitions on the Council website in 2020 together with petitions regarding Whitechapel Bell Foundry, parking mini-zone change and the Community Language Service

This Council further notes:

- 1. To date, the Council has spent £1 million on the Liveable Streets' consultation programme. At a time of national crisis with our borough having to make cuts left, right and centre, it is inexcusable for this council to continue to spend one more penny on a flawed and divisive programme, which has only paid lip service to the required consultations.
- 2. The cost of such a scheme is unreasonable at a time when the Council is having to borrow money to deliver its Town Hall programme.
- 3. A Judicial Review was granted against Tower Hamlets Council in the High Court for indirect discrimination against Black, Asian and Ethnic Minority community projects in 2019. Judge Roger ter Haar QC stated: "I am particularly concerned about the indirect discrimination in the case."
- 4. There are clear flaws in the Equality Impact Assessment. The report states that people with the protected characteristics of disability and age, "limiting or reducing car provision could have a negative impact on this group. Naturally, road closures would have this effect.
- 5. It then states that there would be "no impact".
- 6. The report states that car users "may be required to take an alternative route" without considering the affect on older and disabled residents. This has not been investigated and no evidence has been provided.
- 7. The EQIA assessment has deliberately been omitted vital feedback to fit the PCL Consult's agenda.

- 8. East End Enquirer's investigation identified that only one of the seven Liveable Streets' schemes (in Bow) had an Equality Impact Assessment undertaken. which was carried out by Council officers directly involved in the scheme, or external consultants hired to deliver the scheme.
- 9. The report titled "Report on Structural Discrimination in the Liveable Streets' Consultations" by Cllr Puru Miah.
- 10. That the High Court has today 20th January handed down two judgments in R (UTAG & LTDA) v Transport for London & Mayor of London [2021] EWHC 72 (Admin) and R (UTAG & LTDA) v Transport for London & Mayor of London [2021] EWHC 73 (Admin) which quashed the Mayor of London's Streetspace Plan and TfL's Bishopsgate Traffic Management Order, The High Court Judge held that the Mayor and TfL had failed to have regard to the status and unique role of London taxis in formulating the Streetspace Plan and Guidance and on this basis alone she held both to be unlawful. Also mentioned was a lack of an Equality Impact Assessment.

This Council resolves:

- 1. That Equality Impact Assessments (EIA) are:
 - Always carried out on schemes that change residents' daily lives
 - Carried out by individuals not involved in the delivery of projects and who lack expertise in this specialist area, and not undertaken by those who have a personal/professional or commercial commitment to the projects' delivery.
 - That they be centralised (perhaps within Public Health) into one department that does the EQIA for all Council projects to ensure standardisation, expertise and independence.
 - That the Council makes clear that if a project fails, or partially fails its EIA, it be suspended and rethought.
- 2. That the Council looks again at how it consults residents and why it does so:
 - That for locally specific consultations, the Council sends via Royal Mail – consultation documents to those blocks that are difficult to access.
 - That the Council provides consultation information in other languages
 - That where external companies are used in consultations, they do not have a commercial interest in its outcome, and that their commercial interest is to ensure a fair response.
 - That the Council provides a mechanism for returning consultation materials that are not online
 - That the Council makes clear under what circumstances and by whom consultation responses are not taken up
- 3. To test the use of electronic camera-controlled gates that allow the following vehicle types through:

- Local residents (based on the postcode of the vehicle registration e.g. E1W)
- Licensed taxis (hackney carriages) based on the rules that TfL use for their bus lanes
- Electric vehicles (vehicle types can be confirmed via the DVLA as TfL currently do)
- · Royal Mail (this is an easy visual check)
- All ambulances
- All school or special needs buses
- TfL buses
- Last mile related delivery vehicles (to be defined)
- 4. That the £65 penalty charge will more than cover the costs of such a scheme, both the implementation and administration (see Blackwall bus gate).
- 5. To expand the provision of live air quality monitor sensors across the Borough, as the four sensors we have are not in places where people live, work or study, but either in parks or right next to main roads. The Council's own Love Your Neighbourhood app routinely shows air quality in the borough as being low (low meaning low levels of pollutants). To treat the petition and the signature as a complaint of discrimination of protected characteristics of residents and investigate it as such.
- 6. To treat the petition and the signature as a complaint of discrimination of protected characteristics of residents and investigate it as such.
- 7. That Council's safeguarding measures should be implemented, and PCL Consult should be suspended pending investigation to protect members of the public.

This motion moved by Councillor Rabina Khan was put to a vote and was defeated

During the debate, Councillor Dan Tomlinson **moved** and Councillor Asma Islam **seconded** a motion (as set out in the resolution below).

The motion moved by Councillor Tomlinson was put to a vote and was agreed

RESOLVED:

This Council notes:

- 1. Tower Hamlets' status as a busy Inner London borough provides us with immense challenges as well a great opportunities in terms of transport.
- 2. We have more vehicles passing through the borough each day than anywhere else in the country, with many drivers from other boroughs cutting through Tower Hamlets, and at the same time we are one of the

- most well-connected boroughs in London when it comes to public transport.
- 3. Many local vehicle journeys are essential for the economic life of our borough, business owners and taxi drivers contribute to the local economy and at this time of economic hardship we need to do all we can protect and support businesses.
- 4. Further, although 1 in 3 local car trips in the borough are for journeys less than 1.2 miles, there are many reasons why local residents may need to make journeys via car.
- 5. However, it is not sufficient to simply blame our congestion and poor air quality on outsiders. There are too many local vehicle journeys that are short and could be better carried out by other means. The school run sees massive traffic flows at many schools and it is often tempting to get in the car for very short journeys when other choices could be made.
- 6. We must recognise how significant the health impacts of pollution are particularly in a borough like Tower Hamlets with such a young population. We know that even though we have one of the lowest rates of car ownership of anywhere in the UK, 77% of the population in Tower Hamlets live in areas that exceed recommended limits for air pollution.
- 7. The introduction of school and play streets which recognises the needs of our young population and enables us to create areas where children can safely walk or wheel to and from school.

This Council further notes that:

1. The 2018 Tower Hamlets Labour local election manifesto, whose commitments and policies were adopted into our local Strategic Plan, stated:

'Tower Hamlets has many main arterial roads going through it, serving the strategic Transport for London road network. Through-traffic should by and large stick to these main roads but many of our residential neighbourhoods have seen huge increases in rat-running traffic, making them more dangerous, noisy and polluted. We will create low traffic neighbourhoods, keeping through-traffic to main roads, in any residential area where residents want them, with an ambition to have started on at least half of the borough's neighbourhoods by 2022.'

and

'We recognise that many residents need their cars - for work, for family – but we recognise too that levels of congestion and poor air quality mean that something must change. Electric and lower emissions cars will help, although for many those are not easy to afford and Government must help through a scrappage scheme or other measures. We will recognise the many essential reasons residents and businesses have for driving in our borough, including for family and employment reasons, and will respect and facilitate these.'

2. The Liveable Streets programme was introduced in 2018 with the stated aim to:

'improve the look and feel of public spaces in neighbourhoods across the borough and make it easier, safer and more convenient to get around by foot, bike and public transport.'

and

'to reduce people making 'rat runs' and shortcuts through residential streets to encourage more sustainable journeys and to improve air quality and road safety.'

- 3. The Liveable Streets programme, as with equivalent schemes in other areas, has seen polarised debate with very strong views on different sides.
- 4. That the implementation of bus gates and road closures in particular have significantly divided views.

This Council believes:

- 1. There is an urgent need to improve air quality in Tower Hamlets, and we need to do all we can to make residential streets safer for everyone, particularly for children and pedestrians.
- 2. That a vital part of the Liveable Streets scheme is about making our communities safer for everyone.
- 3. That we need to reduce 'through traffic' from other boroughs, whilst recognising that many local vehicle journeys are essential for our local residents, but also that for real change to happen local drivers need to use their cars less often too.
- 4. That our streets and roads are in need of investment, and that Liveable Streets is delivering such investment following detailed and wide consultation.
- 5. That any large changes we make to the way roads work will be controversial, but that doing nothing and letting the current situation continue is also not an option.
- 6. That the Mayor and Cabinet Member should review how we engage with residents on Liveable Streets to identify how we could engage more.

This Council resolves:

- 1. To ensure that we meet our 2018 manifesto commitment.
- To continue to listen to all residents via detailed and open consultation when making changes to the way our roads work, making sure that views from across our diverse community and heard and considered in full.

Response to the Petition

1. That the petition be referred to the Corporate Director, Place for a written response within 28 days.

written response within 28 days.	
Results of Vote on Motion submitted by Councillor Dan	Tomlinson on
the Petition	
Councillor Rajib Ahmed	For
Councillor Sabina Akhtar	For
Councillor Sufia Alam	For
Councillor Amina Ali	For
Councillor Shah Ameen	For
Councillor Asma Begum	For
Mayor John Biggs	For
Councillor Rachel Blake	For
Councillor Kevin Brady	For
Councillor Mufeedah Bustin	For
Councillor Kahar Chowdhury	For
Councillor Shad Chowdhury	For
Councillor Dipa Das	For
Councillor David Edgar	For
Councillor Marc Francis	For
Councillor Peter Golds	Against
Councillor Ehtasham Haque	For
Councillor Danny Hassell	For
Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain	For
Councillor Asma Islam	For
Councillor Sirajul Islam	For
Councillor Denise Jones	For
Councillor Rabina Khan	Abstain
Councillor Tarik Khan	For
Councillor James King	For
Councillor Eve McQuillan	For
Councillor Ayas Miah	For
Councillor Harun Miah	Abstain
Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE	For
Councillor Victoria Obaze	For
Councillor Mohammed Pappu	For
Councillor Kyrsten Perry	For
Councillor John Pierce	For
Councillor Leema Qureshi	For
Councillor Zenith Rahman	For
Councillor Candida Ronald	For
Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan	For
Councillor Dan Tomlinson	For
Councillor Helal Uddin	For
Councillor Abdal Ullah	For
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	For
Councillor Val Whitehead	For
Councillor Andrew Wood	Abstain
Carried	

Results of Vote on Motion submitted by Councillor Rabin Petition	a Khan on the
Councillor Rajib Ahmed	Against
Councillor Sabina Akhtar	Against
Councillor Sufia Alam	Against
Councillor Amina Ali	Against
Councillor Shah Ameen	Against
Councillor Asma Begum	Against
Mayor John Biggs	Against
Councillor Rachel Blake	Against
Councillor Kevin Brady	Against
Councillor Mufeedah Bustin	Against
Councillor Kahar Chowdhury	Against
Councillor Shad Chowdhury	Against
Councillor Dipa Das	Against
Councillor David Edgar	Against
Councillor Marc Francis	Against
Councillor Peter Golds	For
Councillor Ehtasham Haque	Against
Councillor Danny Hassell	Against
Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain	Against
Councillor Asma Islam	Against
Councillor Sirajul Islam	Against
Councillor Denise Jones	Against
Councillor Rabina Khan	For
Councillor Tarik Khan	Against
Councillor James King	Against
Councillor Eve McQuillan	Against
Councillor Ayas Miah	Against
Councillor Harun Miah	For
Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE	Against
Councillor Victoria Obaze	Against
Councillor Mohammed Pappu	Against
Councillor Kyrsten Perry	Against
Councillor John Pierce	Against
Councillor Leema Qureshi	Against
Councillor Zenith Rahman	Against
Councillor Candida Ronald	Against
Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan	Against
Councillor Dan Tomlinson	Against
Councillor Helal Uddin	Against
Councillor Abdal Ullah	Against
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	Against
Councillor Val Whitehead	Against
Councillor Andrew Wood	For
Rejected	

6. MAYOR'S REPORT

The Mayor presented his report to the Council. Following which:

- Councillor Peter Golds, Leader of the Conservative Group, responded briefly to the Mayor's report.
- The Mayor responded briefly

7. ADMINISTRATION MOTION DEBATE

Administration Motion for debate regarding the Government must protect businesses and livelihoods in Tower Hamlets

Mayor John Biggs **moved** and Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman **seconded** the motion as printed in the agenda.

Councillor Andrew Wood **moved** and Councillor Peter Golds **seconded** the following amendment to the motion to be debated.

Additions in Bold and Underlined. Deletions struckthrough

This Council notes:

- 1. A further national lockdown requires additional economic support across all sectors.
- 2. The Office for Budget Responsibility does not expect GDP to recover to pre- pandemic levels until late 2022.
- 3. Universal Credit a lifeline to around 43,000 26,788 people not in employment and 14,380 in employment for a total of 41,170 Tower Hamlets residents as at October 2020 people in the borough is due to be cut by £20 in April. That the £20 per week increase in Universal Credit which started in March 2020 has not yet been confirmed beyond April 2021 with government Ministers apparently in dispute over whether to end or extend it.
- 4. As a Council we've stepped up to support our businesses and distributed over a £194.3 million pounds to businesses from the government.
- 5. The Council has received £62.5 million in additional grants from government in 2020/21 to help combat COVID. That the latest report to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee (calculated just before the 3rd national lockdown started) that money received by the Council exceeds the cost of COVID by £3.6 million.
- 6. That the unemployment rate for Tower Hamlets residents was 8.9% in November 2020 versus 3.4% in November 2019.

This Council believes:

 That there is a potential catastrophic growth in unemployment and poverty for which we cannot prepare without adequate support from Government. To support families and individuals the Government

must:

- avoid cliff edges and last-minute announcements and consider extending the furlough scheme beyond the end of April, further extending the bans on evictions and repossessions, and mortgage holidays
- maintain the £20 per week uplift to Universal Credit which is currently due to be cut in April whose extension beyond April 2021 has not yet been confirmed and which is a real lifeline to those that receive it and the local businesses where it is spent.
- 3. set out additional support for the self-employed and working parents impacted by the closure of schools.
- 4. give councils the resources to properly cover discretionary payments for the
 - £500 isolation scheme, as boroughs like Tower Hamlets have seen a high uptake.
- As well as the impact on families and individuals, the continuing impact on our economy and businesses is deeply concerning. London's economy will continue to be severely impacted and is at risk from a 'triple quadruple whammy' of the pandemic, working from home, Brexit and the Government's so called 'levelling up' agenda to shift resources to the more deprived working class areas of the north of England.
- Small businesses are the lifeblood of our economy in Tower Hamlets.
- Inner London faces specific challenges of reduced footfall and areas like the City Fringe and Canary Wharf will have to adapt and require specific support.
- The Government must take further action to support businesses, including:
 - 1. extending the current VAT relief scheme for the whole of 2021 and extending the business rates relief scheme.
 - expanding the grants programme delivered by local authorities and adjust it so it is based on the number of businesses within a borough rather than the number of residents.
 - 3. providing targeted support to night-time economy businesses.

This Council resolves:

- 1. To call on the government to set out a comprehensive plan to protect jobs and support our economy.
- 2. To set out a comprehensive plan for how Tower Hamlets Council will use its capacity and resources to protect jobs and support the Tower Hamlets economy.
- 3. For the Mayor and lead Cabinet member to write to the Chancellor making the case for the interventions listed above.
- 4. For the Mayor and lead Cabinet member to communicate to residents how they intend to support businesses and jobs in the Borough.
- 5. <u>To investigate whether the population of Tower Hamlets has</u> declined due to the return home of many workers hard hit by the

pandemic and whether that change is permanent or not?

- 6. To continue to lobby the Government for adequate economic support and work with our business community.
- 7. To set up a Commission (modelled on the Brexit and BAME Commission) to examine the threat of working from home to existing businesses, the changes to the economy post-Brexit and post-COVID and how we can adapt to both. How can we make Tower Hamlets the best place in the UK to open new businesses?
- 8. A clear focus on making the growth areas in Tower Hamlets more attractive places for businesses, workers and residents, to retain those we have and to attract new ones. That like other Boroughs we will need to be more pro-active in creating great places that are not "soulless" but which rather offer the best combination of business, leisure and sports opportunities.
- 9. To lobby for adequate support for individuals and families affected by the economic impact of Covid-19.
- 10. To have a clear focus on making Tower Hamlets the best place to live and work in London.

Following debate, the amendment was put to a vote and was **defeated.**

The motion was put to a vote and was **agreed.**

RESOLVED:

This Council notes:

- 1. A further national lockdown requires additional economic support across all sectors.
- 2. The Office for Budget Responsibility does not expect GDP to recover to pre-pandemic levels until late 2022.
- 3. Universal Credit a lifeline to around 43,000 people in the borough is due to be cut by £20 in April.
- 4. As a council we've stepped up to support our businesses and distributed over a million pounds to businesses.

This Council believes:

- That there is a potential catastrophic growth in unemployment and poverty for which we cannot prepare without adequate support from Government. To support families and individuals the Government must:
 - avoid cliff edges and last-minute announcements and consider extending the furlough scheme beyond the end of April, further extending the bans on evictions and repossessions, and mortgage holidays
 - 2. maintain the £20 per week uplift to Universal Credit which is currently due to be cut in April and which is a real lifeline to those that receive it and the local businesses where it is spent.
 - 3. set out additional support for the self-employed and working parents impacted by the closure of schools.

- 4. give councils the resources to properly cover discretionary payments for the £500 isolation scheme, as boroughs like Tower Hamlets have seen a high uptake.
- As well as the impact on families and individuals, the continuing impact on our economy and businesses is deeply concerning. London's economy will continue to be severely impacted and is at risk from a 'triple whammy' of the pandemic, Brexit and the Government's so called 'levelling up' agenda.
- Small businesses are the lifeblood of our economy in Tower Hamlets.
- Inner London faces specific challenges of reduced footfall and areas like the City Fringe and Canary Wharf will have to adapt and require specific support.
- The Government must take further action to support businesses, including:
 - 1. extending the current VAT relief scheme for the whole of 2021 and extending the business rates relief scheme.
 - 2. expanding the grants programme delivered by local authorities and adjust it so it is based on the number of businesses within a borough rather than the number of residents.
 - 3. providing targeted support to night-time economy businesses.

This Council resolves:

- 1. To call on the government to set out a comprehensive plan to protect jobs and support our economy.
- 2. For the Mayor and lead Cabinet member to write to the Chancellor making the case for the interventions listed above.
- 3. To continue to lobby the Government for adequate economic support and work with our business community.
- 4. To lobby for adequate support for individuals and families affected by the economic impact of Covid-19.

Results of Vote on Amendment submitted by Counci	Ilor Andrew Wood
on the Motion	
Councillor Rajib Ahmed	Against
Councillor Sabina Akhtar	Against
Councillor Sufia Alam	Against
Councillor Amina Ali	Against
Councillor Shah Ameen	Against
Councillor Asma Begum	Against
Mayor John Biggs	Against
Councillor Rachel Blake	Against
Councillor Kevin Brady	Against
Councillor Mufeedah Bustin	Against
Councillor Kahar Chowdhury	Against
Councillor Shad Chowdhury	Against
Councillor Dipa Das	Against
Councillor David Edgar	Against
Councillor Marc Francis	Against
Councillor Peter Golds	For
Councillor Ehtasham Haque	Against

Councillor Danny Hassell	Against
Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain	Against
Councillor Asma Islam	Against
Councillor Sirajul Islam	Against
Councillor Denise Jones	Against
Councillor Rabina Khan	Abstain
Councillor Tarik Khan	Against
Councillor James King	Against
Councillor Eve McQuillan	Against
Councillor Ayas Miah	Against
Councillor Harun Miah	For
Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE	Against
Councillor Victoria Obaze	Against
Councillor Mohammed Pappu	Against
Councillor Kyrsten Perry	Against
Councillor John Pierce	Against
Councillor Leema Qureshi	Against
Councillor Zenith Rahman	Against
Councillor Candida Ronald	Against
Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan	Against
Councillor Dan Tomlinson	Against
Councillor Helal Uddin	Against
Councillor Abdal Ullah	Against
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	Against
Councillor Andrew Wood	For
Rejected	

Results of vote on Motion submitted by Mayor John Biggs	
Councillor Rajib Ahmed	For
Councillor Sabina Akhtar	For
Councillor Sufia Alam	For
Councillor Amina Ali	For
Councillor Shah Ameen	For
Councillor Asma Begum	For
Mayor John Biggs	For
Councillor Rachel Blake	For
Councillor Kevin Brady	For
Councillor Mufeedah Bustin	For
Councillor Kahar Chowdhury	For
Councillor Shad Chowdhury	For
Councillor Dipa Das	For
Councillor David Edgar	For
Councillor Marc Francis	For
Councillor Peter Golds	Against
Councillor Ehtasham Haque	For
Councillor Danny Hassell	For
Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain	For
Councillor Asma Islam	For
Councillor Sirajul Islam	For

Councillor Denise Jones	For
Councillor Rabina Khan	For
Councillor Tarik Khan	For
Councillor James King	For
Councillor Eve McQuillan	For
Councillor Ayas Miah	For
Councillor Harun Miah	Abstain
Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE	For
Councillor Victoria Obaze	For
Councillor Mohammed Pappu	For
Councillor Kyrsten Perry	For
Councillor John Pierce	For
Councillor Leema Qureshi	For
Councillor Zenith Rahman	For
Councillor Candida Ronald	For
Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan	For
Councillor Dan Tomlinson	For
Councillor Helal Uddin	For
Councillor Abdal Ullah	For
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	For
Councillor Andrew Wood	Against
Carried	

8. OPPOSITION MOTION DEBATE

Opposition Motion for Debate from the Conservative group - regarding Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)

Councillor Peter Golds **moved** and Councillor Andrew Wood **seconded** the motion as printed in the agenda.

Councillor Sirajul Islam **moved** and Councillor Eve McQuillan **seconded** an amendment to the motion to be debated, as set out in below.

Added text underlined Deleted text scored out

This council notes that for several decades a house or flat occupied by three or more unrelated persons who do not form a single household and share common amenities is defined as a House of Multiple Occupation (HMO), most recently under Sections 254, 257 and 258 of the Housing Act 2004. With five or more residents sharing common amenities, there is a requirement for the property to be licensed by the local authority.

The council further notes that there are approximately 9,000 such properties in Tower Hamlets with just half of these licensed as HMOs, with two licensing schemes known as Mandatory and Additional Licensing.

HMOs, where of good quality, make an important contribution to the private rented sector (PRS) by catering for different housing needs, including for

some key workers, and by contributing to the overall provision of affordable or private rented stock. Meeting these housing needs are essential, not least as vital services including schools and hospitals are clear that the high housing costs in London make recruitment difficult.

While nationally many HMO tenants are students, in areas with high rents such as Tower Hamlets tenants are often young working people living in flatshares in order to meet high rent costs.

The council notes that from 1st January 2021 any person seeking an HMO licence in the borough will need to seek planning permission for this purpose, under what is known as an Article 4 Direction. This change was driven by a number of local authorities led by Newcastle upon Tyne City Council to protect the housing mix in particular areas of the City.

An Article 4 Direction allows local authorities to remove withdraw specified permitted development rights across a defined area. in some circumstances The use of an Article 4 Direction is that any proposed development that is within the scope of the Direction cannot be carried out under permitted development and will require a planning application to be submitted to the Council. This will enable the Council to consider the proposal in terms of its acceptability in planning terms. Article 4 Directions and must be accompanied by a plan that clearly shows the area that is subject to the direction, and the extent of the area needs to be based upon evidence.

Elsewhere councils are aiming to manage HMOs better by improving residents' standard of living and maintaining mixed communities. This is due to studies that show that a concentration of HMOs can be associated with the following issues:

- poor standards of accommodation
- loss of local character
- reduction in environmental quality
- increased noise complaints
- increased anti-social behaviour
- loss of single family dwelling houses
- increased levels of crime
- increased pressures on car parking
- increased pressure upon local services
- changes to local retail provision

Despite restrictions on what actions can be taken, the council can take over the management of individual HMOs to prevent ASB and introduce a "selective licensing scheme" if residents of several houses in an area are behaving anti-socially. The result of this would require all landlords of properties in a specific area to have licenses showing that they meet "minimum standards."

The Council operates three private sector licencing schemes: selective licensing for any private rented property in Weavers/Whitechapel/Weavers wards, mandatory HMO licensing for larger HMOS (5 renters or more) and an

additional licensing scheme for smaller HMOs (3 renters or more) across the Borough apart from the selective area.

The Council notes that this change can also be traced to other concerns relating to a proliferation of HMOs. In December 2018 a rogue landlord in north west London was jointly prosecuted by the Boroughs of Brent and Harrow for operating sub-standard HMOs and received a record fine of £1.5million by the Courts. Other London boroughs including Lewisham have been extremely pro-active in their regulation of HMOs.

The Council notes that parts of the Isle of Dogs are seeing increasing numbers of family homes turned into HMOs and dramatically changing the area. In one case in a small square of town houses, all but one house in the development has been taken over and converted to HMO use by a single developer. Family houses have been extended outwards, upwards and further upwards with dormer windows and the rooms rented to students. A student hostel of 80 -100 rooms would require planning permission with conditions, payment of CIL and a Section 106 agreement. This change of use has avoided all of these elements. The effect has been to achieve an extended student facility by stealth, in a small square to the detriment of neighbours in the adjoining streets. Residents have noted that the gardens of the once individual properties have been combined and believe that this development should be considered commercial as opposed to single properties.

As a result locally we also face problems with;

- Council tax is per property and does not reflect the number of adults now residing in each property
- Loss of affordable homes in the planning process
- Loss of S106, CIL, New Homes Bonus as new properties are not being built to meet this demand
- School place planning becomes problematic as we lose family size homes with no replacement
- Water pressure numerous adults in a family sized property consume more resources than single families
- Rubbish removal is increased
- Transport provision is affected

The council is using its licencing and planning powers to seek to ensure HMO's meet certain standards and to manage the impacts of HMOs on local areas. The HMO Article 4 Direction, now in force, requires new HMOs to apply for planning permission. Through the planning process, matters such as the cumulative impacts (number of HMOs in the area), the suitability of the proposed location (accessibility levels), housing design (quality) and management (addressing ASB and crime) can be explored in assessing the suitability of the proposed use.

The council notes that many of these the past conversions are by the same developer and that London Renters have protested as to the management of these properties as well as nearby residents who are affected by them.

The Council further notes that that the Newcastle upon Tyne Article 4 Direction was to protect housing mix in a defined area.

The Council legally has to consult interested parties when issuing licensing but can only refuse a licence in very specific circumstances.

Once a property is licenced a copy of that licence remains in the premises and under its conditions requires the landlord to provide minimum standards of conditions, management, amenities and tenancy behaviour.

The Council resolves to:

- Continue to stand up for renters in HMOs.
- Lobby the Government to allow us to extend licensing schemes more broadly, and to lobby for a mandatory licensing scheme to be introduced for short term lets including Airbnb.
- Support the Mayor of London's campaign to introduce rent controls.
- Request that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee considers what further measures the council could take to support renters and minimise any potential negative impacts from HMOs.
- Update Note that the register of licensed properties used as HMOs is updated monthly and ensure that all 9,000 are correctly registered.
- Ensure Note that the register is accessible to enquirers by listing all properties by alphabetical address in an initial section and a second section listing details of each owner with a list of their properties as it is published in an Excel format.
- Ensure that HMRC are aware of this list of landlords with HMO's.
- Ensures the planning process reflects and protects the housing mix of each area of the borough.
- Note that following the introduction of the Article 4 direction, any new small scale HMOs require planning permission, but this does not necessarily mean that there will be any physical changes to a dwelling and homes may be HMOs for a short period and then revert to family homes.
- Note that decent quality homes for sharers are an important part of the borough's housing mix.
- Ensures that reports to the planning committee identify applications as potential HMOs. This will enable officers and members to consider the housing mix as opposed to approving what appears to be a simple extension.
- Note that those that are extending homes with a view to occupation as an HMO will need to apply for planning permission for that use. It would be unlawful for the planning committees to speculate on the future use of a property if that is not the subject of the application and requires permission in its own right.
- Explore whether available resources allow us to That the Council write directly (ideally via personally addressed mail (if registered on the electoral register) to each HMO tenant occupant making them aware of the rules that apply to their landlords and how and where to complain if the landlord is not following the rules. This should be done every few years given the turnover in such properties.

- Note that when a licence is issued a copy of the licence should be displayed within the property detailing the conditions that the licence holder must comply with.
- Encourage via the planning process the provision of dedicated accommodation e.g. for students to meet this demand rather than rely on private individuals changing the demographic mix of Tower Hamlets by buying up scarce family homes.
- Note that the demand for housing in Tower Hamlets is high and available properties are relatively scarce.
- Change the parking rules so that HMO's have access to only one parking permit per property.
- Finally <u>note that</u> the council should <u>seek continue</u> to work with residents and councillors to protect the interests of residents and future tenants in the licensing and approving of HMOs.

Following debate, the amendment was put to a vote and was agreed

The motion as amended was put to a vote and was agreed

RESOLVED:

This council notes that for several decades a house or flat occupied by three or more unrelated persons who do not form a single household and share common amenities is defined as a House of Multiple Occupation (HMO), most recently under Sections 254, 257 and 258 of the Housing Act 2004. With five or more residents sharing common amenities, there is a requirement for the property to be licensed by the local authority.

The council further notes that there are approximately 9,000 such properties in Tower Hamlets with just half of these licensed as HMOs, with two licensing schemes known as Mandatory and Additional Licensing.

HMOs, where of good quality, make an important contribution to the private rented sector (PRS) by catering for different housing needs, including for some key workers, and by contributing to the overall provision of affordable or private rented stock. Meeting these housing needs are essential, not least as vital services including schools and hospitals are clear that the high housing costs in London make recruitment difficult.

While nationally many HMO tenants are students, in areas with high rents such as Tower Hamlets tenants are often young working people living in flatshares in order to meet high rent costs.

The council notes that from 1st January 2021 any person seeking an HMO licence in the borough will need to seek planning permission for this purpose, under what is known as an Article 4 Direction. This change was driven by a number of local authorities led by Newcastle upon Tyne City Council to protect the housing mix in particular areas of the City.

An Article 4 Direction allows local authorities to withdraw specified permitted development rights across a defined area. The use of an Article 4 Direction is

that any proposed development that is within the scope of the Direction cannot be carried out under permitted development and will require a planning application to be submitted to the Council. This will enable the Council to consider the proposal in terms of its acceptability in planning terms. Article 4 Directions must be accompanied by a plan that clearly shows the area that is subject to the direction, and the extent of the area needs to be based upon evidence.

Despite restrictions on what actions can be taken, the council can take over the management of individual HMOs to prevent ASB and introduce a "selective licensing scheme" if residents of several houses in an area are behaving anti-socially. The result of this would require all landlords of properties in a specific area to have licenses showing that they meet "minimum standards."

The Council operates three private sector licencing schemes: selective licensing for any private rented property in Weavers/Whitechapel/Weavers wards, mandatory HMO licensing for larger HMOS (5 renters or more) and an additional licensing scheme for smaller HMOs (3 renters or more) across the Borough apart from the selective area.

The Council notes that parts of the Isle of Dogs are seeing increasing numbers of family homes turned into HMOs and dramatically changing the area. In one case in a small square of town houses, all but one house in the development has been taken over and converted to HMO use by a single developer. Family houses have been extended outwards, upwards and further upwards with dormer windows and the rooms rented to students. A student hostel of 80 -100 rooms would require planning permission with conditions, payment of CIL and a Section 106 agreement. This change of use has avoided all of these elements. The effect has been to achieve an extended student facility by stealth, in a small square to the detriment of neighbours in the adjoining streets. Residents have noted that the gardens of the once individual properties have been combined and believe that this development should be considered commercial as opposed to single properties.

As a result locally we also face problems with;

- Council tax is per property and does not reflect the number of adults now residing in each property
- Loss of affordable homes in the planning process
- Loss of S106, CIL, New Homes Bonus as new properties are not being built to meet this demand
- School place planning becomes problematic as we lose family size homes with no replacement
- Water pressure numerous adults in a family sized property consume more resources than single families
- · Rubbish removal is increased
- Transport provision is affected

The council is using its licencing and planning powers to seek to ensure HMO's meet certain standards and to manage the impacts of HMOs on local areas. The HMO Article 4 Direction, now in force, requires new HMOs to

apply for planning permission. Through the planning process, matters such as the cumulative impacts (number of HMOs in the area), the suitability of the proposed location (accessibility levels), housing design (quality) and management (addressing ASB and crime) can be explored in assessing the suitability of the proposed use.

The council notes that many of the past conversions are by the same developer and that London Renters have protested as to the management of these properties as well as nearby residents who are affected by them.

The Council further notes that that the Newcastle upon Tyne Article 4 Direction was to protect housing mix in a defined area.

The Council legally has to consult interested parties when issuing licensing but can only refuse a licence in very specific circumstances.

Once a property is licenced a copy of that licence remains in the premises and under its conditions requires the landlord to provide minimum standards of conditions, management, amenities and tenancy behaviour.

The Council resolves to:

- Continue to stand up for renters in HMOs.
- Lobby the Government to allow us to extend licensing schemes more broadly, and to lobby for a mandatory licensing scheme to be introduced for short term lets including Airbnb.
- Support the Mayor of London's campaign to introduce rent controls.
- Request that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee considers what further measures the council could take to support renters and minimise any potential negative impacts from HMOs.
- Note that the register of licensed properties used as HMOs is updated monthly
- Note that the register is accessible to enquirers as it is published in an Excel format.
- Ensure that HMRC are aware of this list of landlords with HMO's.
- Note that following the introduction of the Article 4 direction, any new small scale HMOs require planning permission, but this does not necessarily mean that there will be any physical changes to a dwelling and homes may be HMOs for a short period and then revert to family homes.
- Note that decent quality homes for sharers are an important part of the borough's housing mix.
- Note that those that are extending homes with a view to occupation as an HMO will need to apply for planning permission for that use. It would be unlawful for the planning committees to speculate on the future use of a property if that is not the subject of the application and requires permission in its own right.
- Explore whether available resources allow us to write directly (ideally via personally addressed mail (if registered on the electoral register) to each HMO tenant occupant making them aware of the rules that apply to their landlords and how and where to complain if the landlord is not following the rules.

- Note that when a licence is issued a copy of the licence should be displayed within the property detailing the conditions that the licence holder must comply with.
- Note that the demand for housing in Tower Hamlets is high and available properties are relatively scarce.
- Finally note that the council should continue to work with residents and councillors to protect the interests of residents and future tenants in the licensing and approving of HMOs.

Results of Vote on amendment submitted by Mayor John Biggs	
Councillor Rajib Ahmed	For
Councillor Sabina Akhtar	For
Councillor Sufia Alam	For
Councillor Shah Ameen	For
Councillor Asma Begum	For
Mayor John Biggs	For
Councillor Kevin Brady	For
Councillor Mufeedah Bustin	For
Councillor Shad Chowdhury	For
Councillor Dipa Das	For
Councillor David Edgar	For
Councillor Marc Francis	For
Councillor Peter Golds	Against
Councillor Ehtasham Haque	For
Councillor Danny Hassell	For
Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain	For
Councillor Sirajul Islam	For
Councillor Denise Jones	For
Councillor Rabina Khan	For
Councillor Tarik Khan	For
Councillor James King	For
Councillor Eve McQuillan	For
Councillor Ayas Miah	For
Councillor Harun Miah	Abstain
Councillor Puru Miah	For
Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE	For
Councillor Victoria Obaze	For
Councillor Mohammed Pappu	For
Councillor Kyrsten Perry	For
Councillor John Pierce	For
Councillor Leema Qureshi	For
Councillor Zenith Rahman	For
Councillor Candida Ronald	For
Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan	For
Councillor Dan Tomlinson	For
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	For
Councillor Val Whitehead	For
Councillor Andrew Wood	Against
Carried Results of Vata an Matieus as Amandad	
Results of Vote on Motion as Amended	

Councillor Rajib Ahmed	For
Councillor Sabina Akhtar	For
Councillor Sufia Alam	For
Councillor Shah Ameen	For
Councillor Asma Begum	For
Mayor John Biggs	For
Councillor Kevin Brady	For
Councillor Mufeedah Bustin	For
Councillor Shad Chowdhury	For
Councillor Dipa Das	For
Councillor David Edgar	For
Councillor Marc Francis	For
Councillor Peter Golds	Abstain
Councillor Ehtasham Haque	For
Councillor Danny Hassell	For
Councillor Mohammed Ahbab Hossain	For
Councillor Sirajul Islam	For
Councillor Denise Jones	For
Councillor Rabina Khan	Abstain
Councillor Tarik Khan	For
Councillor James King	For
Councillor Eve McQuillan	For
Councillor Ayas Miah	For
Councillor Harun Miah	Abstain
Councillor Abdul Mukit MBE	For
Councillor Victoria Obaze	For
Councillor Mohammed Pappu	For
Councillor Kyrsten Perry	For
Councillor John Pierce	For
Councillor Leema Qureshi	For
Councillor Zenith Rahman	For
Councillor Candida Ronald	For
Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan	For
Councillor Dan Tomlinson	For
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	For
Councillor Val Whitehead	For
Councillor Andrew Wood	Against
Carried	

9. REPORTS FROM THE EXECUTIVE AND THE COUNCIL'S COMMITTEES

9.1 Report of General Purposes Committee, Senior Management Review

The Council considered a report of the General Purposes Committee on the Senior Management Review. Council noted the exempt/restricted appendices.

RESOLVED:

1. To note the report to the General Purposes Committee including the implications in respect of existing Statutory Officer posts and confirm no objection to the proposed dismissal of the existing statutory officer post holders on the grounds of redundancy.

10. OTHER BUSINESS

There were none

11. MEMBER QUESTIONS

The following questions and in each case supplementary questions were put (except where indicated) and were responded to by the Mayor or relevant Executive Member.

11.2 Question from Councillor Peter Golds

For years residents of Napier Avenue and Blasker Walk in Island Gardens Ward have provided extensive and detailed evidence of drug dealing and ASB taking place on rarely used resident's parking bays on Blasker Walk. They have repeatedly asking for the bays to be removed and the pavement extended. Despite numerous meetings, site visits, letters, requests and questions, the situation continues.

Will this simple action be undertaken to improve the quality of life for these residents?

Response of Councillor Dan Tomlinson, Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm (Job Share) - Lead on Public Realm:

The Council had held a number of site visits to look into this issue with local Councillors. We hope to progress action to address the issues in the weeks and months ahead subject to funding and collaboration with ward councillors.

Supplementary question from Councillor Peter Golds:

Last night local residents submitted further evidence showing issues with ASB and drug dealing in the street and the car park. In light of this, when does the Council intend to introduce the measures?

Councillor Dan Tomlinson's response to supplementary question:

I can confirm that the measures should be introduced soon.

11.3 Question from Councillor Victoria Obaze

What actions have the Covid Ambassadors undertaken to maintain compliance with Covid restrictions?

Response of Councillor Rachel Blake, Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Adults, Health and Wellbeing:

The role of the local volunteers involved: visiting residents, in areas with the highest Covid rates. Whilst they do not have an enforcement role, they can provide valuable feedback on non - compliance to the relevant Council team and they provide a vital role in providing information and keeping people safe.

No supplementary question was asked

11.4 Question from Councillor Andrew Wood:

How does the Council intend to respond to the findings of the Isle of Dogs and South Poplar Integrated Water Management Plan which said "The scale of growth planned for the Isle of Dogs and; South Poplar to 2041 poses a significant challenge for the delivery of water services infrastructure in the area. Much of the existing infrastructure is close to, or already at capacity; and flood risk and water quality are key concerns in many parts of the area."?

Response of Councillor Eve McQuillan, Cabinet Member for Planning and Social Inclusion (Job Share) - Lead on Planning:

We are aware of the need to address this issue and challenges around this. In view of this, I am pleased to report on the development of the Integrated Water Management Plan for the area with the GLA, Thames Water and the Environment Agency. The plan sought to provide a targeted approach to ensure water needs are met and recommended a range of other measures. We look forward to implementing the plan and were currently exploring how this can be delivered to address the issues in a timely fashion. In addition, the Council looks forward to the implementation and coordination of other emerging measures, such as a wider Infrastructure Delivery Plan for the Isle of Dogs. This should be available soon and I am happy to discuss this with Councillors.

Supplementary question from Councillor Andrew Wood:

I note that developers are concerned about this issue.

Councillor Eve McQuillan's response to supplementary question:

I am aware of this. It was anticipated that the measures should help address the issues.

11.5 Question from Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan:

Could the Lead member outline whether the Council intend to offer any additional financial compensation to those small businesses that have had a loss of turnover caused as a result of construction associated with the Liveable Streets Programme?

Response of Councillor Dan Tomlinson, Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm (Job Share) - Lead on Public Realm:

I wish to extend my apologies to any businesses affected by the construction works particularly when they overrun. It was hoped that the improvements should, when implemented, assist businesses, but I also understand that should they wish to claim compensation for any adverse impacts, they may contact the Valuation Office Agency, who can provide advice about this.

Supplementary question from Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan:

I am aware that a number of businesses have been affected by the ongoing construction work in the area. Whilst it is noted that they may seek advice, I am concerned that funding is not forthcoming. Can the Council look at providing this to support additional needs for those business as part of the programme?

Councillor Dan Tomlinson's response to supplementary question: response to supplementary question:

I am happy to look into this with you. Whilst I cannot commit to anything at this stage, I think it is important that where there are lengthy construction works, we should look to support businesses

11.6 Question from Councillor Kahar Chowdhury:

How are the Covid Champions supporting the community through the pandemic?

Response of Councillor Rachel Blake, Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Adults, Health and Wellbeing:

The Covid Champions play a key role in the Council's work to keep the community safe, as volunteers. They provide up to date advice to the community and the Council on compliance. They work together and share information, through engagement in webinars and receiving newsletters for example.

No supplementary question was asked

Question 11.1 was not put due to the absence of the questioner. Questions 11.7 - 11.17 were not put due to lack of time. A written response would be

provided to these questions. (Note the written responses are included in Appendix A to these minutes)

Time Limit

At this point in the meeting, the Speaker advised that the time limit for the meeting had been reached. The Speaker therefore drew the meeting to a close under Council Procedure Rule 9.2 regarding the three hour time limit for the meeting.

12. TO CONSIDER MOTIONS SUBMITTED BY MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

No motions were considered due to lack of time.

The meeting ended at 10.20 p.m.

Speaker of the Council

Minute Item 3

Appendix A

Written Responses to Member Questions not put at the 20th January 2021 Council Meeting

11.1 Question from Councillor Dipa Das:

In light of the school closures, can the cabinet member provide an update on how the council is supporting home learning and access to digital technology?

Response from Councillor Danny Hassell, Cabinet Member for Children and Schools:

Ensuring children and families are supported to learn at home is a key priority of schools and the council.

The Tower Hamlets Education Partnership has analysed pupil gaps in learning arising from the first lockdown to inform targeting of resources and to providing advice on challenges identified by schools.

Officers are rolling out the national laptop programme which is targeted to specific pupils identified by the government including pupils in year 10, where we have distributed laptops to 525 children, and pupils with a social worker, with 2022 laptops allocated, over half over which have been distributed so far.

Many schools have bought and distributed laptops and this has been supported by local organisations, for example, the partnership between Poplar Harca, LETTA and East End Community Foundation has provided laptops for a number of schools in the Poplar area. THEP has also been developing relationships with the business sector, resulting in donations of £30k of IT kit for schools, so far, with more to follow. There are other local initiatives by charities who are sourcing and distributing equipment.

Zoom sessions are also being held for parents and, importantly, safeguarding guidance and training on home learning has been provided to school staff and shared with unions.

11.7 Question from Councillor Bex White:

I've had excellent feedback from residents about the improvements to Bartlett Park, and it's certainly well-used. Can the Cabinet Member for Environment please update us on progress on adding in additional Zebra Crossings on Upper North Street to make it safer for children using the park?

Response from Councillor Dan Tomlinson – Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm (Job Share) - Lead on Public Realm:

Officers are aware of the road danger issues on Upper North Street, particularly traffic speeds and lack of pedestrian crossings developing and have received correspondence from residents on this matter. Highways & Transport and Parks & Open Spaces are currently exploring ways to improve access to the northern end of Bartlett Park including new pedestrian crossing on Upper North Street.

11.8 Question from Councillor Abdal Ullah:

With the 50th Anniversary of Bangladesh as an Independent nation, and as we have

a large British Bangladeshi community in Tower Hamlets, will the Council be planning to celebrate and recognise the significant contributions of the local Bangladeshi communities in the East End?

Response from Councillor Sabina Akhtar, Cabinet Member for Culture, Arts and Brexit:

Thank you Cllr Ullah, I'm glad you asked this question. I know some opposition councillors have suggested this is not something we should care about but the Mayor and I and many of my colleagues are `proud and clear that for many of our residents the 50th anniversary of Bangladesh's independence will be an important moment and it is entirely right the council celebrates the occasion given our close ties with Bangladesh. This event has a great deal of history and this is an educational opportunity for our borough and we should involve young people so the next generation remembers their heritage.

Due to the pandemic, we will not be able to put on large scale events however we are drawing up plans for a series of online cultural events, performances and exhibitions for delivery between March and December

Once agreed a page on our website will promote all of the activities taking place both by the council and through our many excellent community organisations.

We have started some initial meetings and set up a working group to help us organise this celebrate and how we can work with others to bring out the history and her

11.9 Question from Councillor Kyrsten Perry:

When will the double yellow lines be painted opposite Arnhem Wharf School?

Response from Councillor Dan Tomlinson – Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm (Job Share) - Lead on Public Realm:

A meeting was recently held between Arnhem Wharf Primary School leadership team and Traffic Service officers review the temporary school street requirements in the area. It was identified that there was a need for heightened waiting restrictions due to the observed opportunity to park and drop-off in Westferry Road at the junction with Arnhem Place.

The proposal to increase the single yellow line timed restrictions to Double Yellow "at any time" waiting restrictions was implemented during the last week of November 2020.

11.10 Question from Councillor Marc Francis:

Will the Lead Member for Finance & Resources set out how many self-employed Council Tax Support claimants have benefitted from the suspension of the use of the Minimum Income Floor so far in 2020/21, and what is the current estimated cost of this concession for the whole financial year?

Response from Councillor Candida Ronald, Cabinet Member for Resources and the Voluntary Sector:

Our Council Tax Reduction Scheme is a real lifeline for many residents, and it means that we reduce council tax bills for over 31,000 of the poorest households in Tower Hamlets.

Between March 2020 to present, the cost of our CTRS has increased from £27.9m to £31.4m

Since March 2020 the number of CTR claims with Self Employed income has dropped from 857 to 838, but of these the number that are UC claims has increased from 443 to 573. All of these are no longer affected by the MIF.

As a result, the number of cases affected by the MIF has decreased from 297 to 261 during the same period. Where we are advised that the MIF is causing hardship, we look at each case to assess whether S13a could be used in mitigation, and to date this year we have awarded £133,137.67 under Section 13a.

We have also awarded £1.7m under the Hardship Fund to those who were assessed as still having something to pay.

11.11 Question from Councillor Val Whitehead:

How have we supported foster carers during the pandemic?

Response from Councillor Danny Hassell, Cabinet Member for Children and Schools:

Foster carers play a vital role in improving the lives of children in Tower Hamlets so it's important that they feel supported during this difficult time.

The council has supported foster carers in the following ways:

- Supervision visits have continued throughout and have always been a mix of either face to face or virtual, with most now being carried out virtually. Most support Social Workers are in a routine of calling carers 2-3 times a week.
- We continue to deliver virtual training.
- Support group sessions for carers have continued virtually. These have been offered to both groups of mainstream carers as well as the Mockingbird project.
- We continue to facilitate the work of the Foster Care Associates.
- We have recently appointed a clinical psychologist who is also offering carers a virtual clinic to help carers manage difficult behaviours.
- Support and regular (twice weekly contact) with foster carers from the Virtual School teachers to provide advice and support for home learning.
- Locally, we are including foster carers in our definition of 'social care'
 workforce for priority vaccinations. I'm pleased to report a number of our
 foster carers have now been vaccinated.

11.12 Question from Councillor John Pierce

Can the Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Community Safety provide an update on any proposals for the Council's Budget 21/22 that will help tackle crime and ASB in Bethnal Green?

Response from Councillor Asma Begum, Deputy Mayor for Community Safety, Youth and Equalities:

The Council is committed to addressing resident concerns and reducing ASB in our borough. The Council has a series of ambitious commitments under the ASB Blueprint which was agreed by the Mayor in Cabinet in 2017.

A key strand of this work was a commitment to a localised neighbourhood approach to tackling ASB, and to put victims and residents at the heart of this approach. This was developed through the successful Neighbourhood Management which has been effective in managing the response to local neighbourhood crime and ASB.

The medium-term financial plan proposes to continue to fund the project which was implemented in the North West of the Borough in 2018. As a result Bethnal Green would continue to benefit from this localised approach.

Furthermore, our Budget invests £1m a year for the Partnership Task Force, our council funded police officers who focus on those crimes most of concern to our residents including drugs and anti-social behaviour.

11.13 Question from Councillor James King:

How has the council responded to the proposed academisation of Cyril Jackson Primary School in Limehouse?

Response from Councillor Danny Hassell, Cabinet Member for Children and Schools:

Thank you for this question Cllr King I know you've been active in representing parents in your ward on this issue.

The council supports the principle that it is for the school governing body to make a decision about whether or not a school should academise.

The Local Authority, in line with its statutory role, initially advised the school on the statutory consultation process. Following complaints a review of the consultation process was commissioned in agreement with the Governing Body.

In response to the application to academise, the school was asked to run a second public consultation by the DfE. Again the LA advised on the consultation process and its important that the views of parents and any impact on learning are fully considered.

That consultation has now closed. Whatever arrangements are in place we work with

all our schools whatever type of governance they have in place through our Tower Hamlets Education Partnership to ensure that parents and children are supported so children get the best outcomes.

11.14 Question from Councillor Kevin Brady:

Please can the lead member provide an update on the remainder of the construction plans for the Bethnal Green Liveable Streets project?

Response from Councillor Dan Tomlinson – Cabinet Member for Environment and Public Realm (Job Share) - Lead on Public Realm:

Following a number of community sessions to discuss the designs for specific areas, the programme has now moved into the construction phase.

On Old Bethnal Green Road, planting, signage and road markings are to be in place by mid-February. The final one-way in Mansford Street is to be installed late January/early February.

Gosset Street/Columbia Road junction is due to begin at the end of January following sessions with the community to discuss design and use of the space. The works are estimated to last 8 weeks.

Arnold Circus received an interim project with the use of planters at the end of 2020. Following further discussion with the community the project is scheduled to progress in March over 10-12 weeks.

Ropley Street design has been placed online for further comments with a further webinar with residents on the design at the end of January.

We are considering carefully how best to manage the traffic at Warner Place/Squirries Street junction. An update on this scheme will be sent to residents soon.

Some construction works and obtaining materials have been affected by COVID-19, and this may continue into the weeks ahead.

11.15 Question from Councillor Puru Miah:

What is the Councils view as the planning authority on the recent application by the Trumans Brewery?

Councillor Eve McQuillan, Cabinet Member for Planning and Social Inclusion (Job Share) - Lead on Planning

As you are aware, this is a live application which will be considered by the council's Development Committee.

Officers are currently assessing the application and considering the representations received as part of the statutory consultation process.

To date we have received 2,292 representations: 2,211 in objection and 92 in support however I should stress that while the Committee will be aware of the number of representations and their views, they will need to limit their consideration to the strength of the planning concerns raised in the responses rather than the number of objectors or supporters.

11.16 Question from Councillor Rabina Khan:

The Mayor and the Lead Member for Adult Social Care Cllr Blake repeatedly tell residents that carers, the vulnerable and older people need support - why are they then consulting on closing the three-Day Care Centres for vulnerable adults in the borough instead of ring fencing these services and looking at savings elsewhere?"

Response from Councillor Rachel Blake, Deputy Mayor for Adults, Health and Wellbeing:

Thank you Cllr Khan. As you say, we are committed to supporting our vulnerable and older people. That's why we have put millions of pounds of extra funding into Adult Social Care and why in 2021/22 we will spend £12m more on adult social care than we did when you were last a Cabinet member. Our Linkage Plus partnerships, Community Navigators, Homecare workers, Reablement Team, Telecare Team and community partners are supporting vulnerable and older people everyday. When you were last a Cabinet Member, the Council proposed a saving of £167k through "greater efficiency at Pritchard's Road Day Centre and a further £1.4m through "modernising learning disability day services" so I know you will understand the context of reviewing services like these.

As you will know, the council continues to face significant financial challenges as government funding is reduced. The pandemic has exacerbated this but it has also meant changes to the way some services are delivered. For example, the three day centres you refer to have been closed since the pandemic began. In their place we have offered alternative support to those who would otherwise have attended.

The current proposal, which has been consulted on and builds on discussions with service users, would put in place alternative support which involve fewer buildings based services but continue to offer flexible support in different ways including through a community hub model which would allow people to access different forms of support and enable people to take part in activities that they enjoy and support their independence. The pandemic has shown that what matters is the quality of the services we provide, not the buildings they were once based in. That is where we propose to focus our resources.

11.17 Question from Councillor Harun Miah:

Recently there has been an increase in anti-social behaviour and vehicle vandalism in and around the carpark in Bernardo Gardens, Shadwell. Can the Mayor look into the option of installing CCTV in the hotspot, in order to detect and deter crimes, as a matter of urgency?

Response from Councillor Asma Begum, Deputy Mayor for Community Safety, Youth and Equalities:

The council works in partnership with the Metropolitan Police, Registered Social Landlords (RSLs) and other law enforcement agencies to monitor public space CCTV in the borough and support civil and criminal proceedings.

The Council provides a CCTV service with around 350 fixed public space cameras operating throughout the borough for a broad range of purposes.

Where there is an increase in ASB or crime, the Council utilises a more flexible approach through the use of re-deployable CCTV cameras. These cameras are deployed as a temporary measure to deal with emerging issues. The request for deployment in this area must be compatible with a set of objectives which includes to protect areas and premises used by the public and to deter and detect crime and ASB among others. It must also be supported by data and compliant with the Surveillance Camera Commissioner's Code of Conduct with regards to privacy and collateral intrusion.

The carpark in question is managed by Tower Hamlets Community Housing. However, the Council's ASB & Community Safety Team Leader will be in contact with THCH's ASB team to seek to address the concerns raised.

