

# Equality Analysis (EA)

## Section 1 – General Information (Aims and Objectives)

Name of the proposal including aims, objectives and purpose  
*(Please note – for the purpose of this doc, ‘proposal’ refers to a policy, function, strategy or project)*

### Statutory Proposal to close Raine’s CofE Foundation School

This Equalities Impact Assessment concerns the proposal to close Raine’s Foundation School and it therefore considers the effect of the closure on the school community, which includes pupils, parents and staff. There is an opposing view that the school has a significant historical place and reputation in the borough and therefore continued efforts should be made to enable Raine’s to remain open to serve the Church of England (CofE) community. The key points made in favour of the school remaining open, as collated through the informal consultation period, were:

- 1) Concerns about the loss of tradition as Raine’s recently celebrated 300 years of history, as well as the loss of reputation and recognition that it used to be a good school.
- 2) Awareness that Oaklands is not a CofE school, and serves a different community group, thereby integrating Raine’s students could be challenging.
- 3) The contention that the school has made significant improvement and that the school should be given more time for another Ofsted inspection, which would, it is argued, result in the school being placed in a ‘Good’ category.

However, the continuing fall in pupil numbers and the associated impact on the educational and financial viability of the school has led to the conclusion that the School should be considered for closure due to underlying sustainability issues relating to its considerable and unrecoverable budget deficit, very low pupil numbers, and admission patterns that has seen a substantial decline in applications over the previous eight-year period. The School’s position is further exacerbated by it being in Ofsted category of ‘Requiring Improvement’ since November 2015 with below standard GCSE results.

The Local Authority (LA) and London Diocesan Board (Diocese) have had increasing concerns about the long-term sustainability of Raine’s Foundation CE School and how they could work together to secure its future. These concerns were focused on its financial viability, set against a backdrop of declining pupil numbers together with the capacity of the School to improve pupil behaviour, progress and outcomes rapidly. Numbers of pupils enrolled at Raine’s has been steadily declining, from 808 at the January census in 2012, 747 in January 2014, 669 in January 2016 and 520 pupils in January 2019. The current pupil numbers are well below the School’s target of 800 and less than half its planned capacity for 1050 pupils.

In considering ways for Raine’s to secure its future and provide a better quality of education for its pupils, the LA and Diocese considered a range of options, including Raine’s federating with another school or amalgamating with an existing Church of England school. None of these options were considered viable.

It should be noted that, in 2013, the governing body of Raine’s School applied to the Secretary of State for the Department of Education (DfE) to convert to academy status. The application made by the then Chair of Governors was refused by the DfE. In refusing the application the DfE explained that, although Raine’s was rated by Ofsted as a ‘good school with outstanding features’ the rate of pupil progress and GCSE results were below the national standard. There

See Appendix A

Current decision rating



**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

has been no further opportunity for Raine's to convert to academy status, given that what followed was two Ofsted inspections in November 2015 and October 2017, which found that the School was in need of 'requiring improvement' due to its poor governance, leadership and its further decline in pupil progress and standards.

The above activities established that the alternative options for securing a future for Raine's School could not be pursued further. It was therefore necessary for the LA and Diocese to consider a process whereby the School would close. The LA, with agreement of both the governing bodies of Raine's and Oaklands Schools, conducted a feasibility study on arrangements for the closure of Raine's School alongside the expansion of nearby Oaklands School for the transfer of Raine's pupils. Oaklands was chosen because:

- it had effective governance and strong leadership;
- it was in the right geographical location;
- it is popular with local families;
- It is 4FE school with potential to add more capacity through expansion;
- it was well placed to provide a good education to additional pupils.
- It was already providing support to Raine's as part of the LA's earlier intervention plan.

Following the completion of the feasibility study and its findings both school governing bodies, LA officers, and the Diocese determined to seek agreement from the Director of Children's and Culture for the LA to recommend that the Council begin a statutory consultation process (the first of 4 potential stages) that would consider the closure of Raine's School, including the expansion of Oaklands School for the transfer of Raine's pupils. The governing bodies of both schools agreed to establish a joint steering group, with an independent chair, to oversee the process.

A seven-week period of public consultation, including meetings with staff, governors, and parents, along with other interested parties has been undertaken from 10<sup>th</sup> June to 31<sup>st</sup> July 2019. The results of the consultation process are available upon request.

The Council must now decide whether to progress to stage 2 and issue a statutory notice and proposal in relation to closing Raine's, after which there would then, at stage 3, be a further period of formal consultation under the Education and Inspections Act 2006.

Please note that the analysis below is conducted to ascertain the likely impacts were the proposal to be approved (at stage 4) after that period of formal consultation. It therefore incorporates an assumption of the same. However, it should not be taken to mean that the Council has made any decision in relation to the same final proposal (at stage 4), which is dependent upon the results of stage 2, and then any stage 3 statutory process under the Education and Inspections Act 2006.

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

**Conclusion - To be completed at the end of the Equality Analysis process**

*(the exec summary will provide an update on the findings of the EA and what outcome there has been as a result. For example, based on the findings of the EA, the proposal was rejected as the impact on a particular group was unreasonable and did not give due regard. Or, based on the EA, the proposal was amended and alternative steps taken)*

Based on the findings of the EA, and the mitigating actions put in place to offset any potential disproportionate impact on any one group, the proposal is robust. The proposal ensures increased equality of opportunity in regards to improved educational outcomes for all Raine's pupils. This should ensure that these pupils will now leave secondary education with improved future career and education options.

**EA completed by: Elizabeth Freer**

(officer completing the EA)

**EA signed off by:**

(service manager)

**Date signed off:**

(approved)

Service area:

SPP

Team name:

Children and Culture

Name and role of the officer completing the EA:

Elizabeth Freer, Strategy and Policy Manager

**Section 2 – Evidence (Consideration of Data and Information)**

What initial evidence do we have which may help us think about the impacts or likely impacts on service users or staff?

The following evidence has been considered:

**Engagement evidence**

An initial consultation ran from 10<sup>th</sup> June 2019 until 24<sup>th</sup> July 2019, although this was extended on 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2019 to run until 31<sup>st</sup> July 2019. The consultation was published on the consultations page of the LBTH website, and the webpage contained information on how to respond, the timetable for responses, the formal consultation document and a feasibility study on the proposal. In addition, the consultation page also published answers to 53 frequently asked questions, which were updated as and when appropriate throughout the consultation period.

Two public meetings were held: at Oaklands School on evening 19<sup>th</sup> June 2019, and at Raine's School on the evening of 26<sup>th</sup> June 2019. Minutes of these meetings were considered.

The Council has received:

- A petition with over 3,000 signatures and 324 detailed comments
- 7 emails
- 102 responses to its on-line questionnaire
- 205 responses to its questionnaire from Oaklands students

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

- A formal response from Raine's School foundation

### **Minutes of meetings**

Minutes of meetings where the subject of Raine's has been discussed have been considered. This includes, but is not limited to, Full Council, the Children and Education Scrutiny Sub-Committee and aforementioned public meetings.

### **Other Evidence**

Financial position of Raine's Foundation Church of England School

Ofsted reports

Pupil projections

School census data of Raine's, Oaklands and London Borough of Tower Hamlets

Demographic data held on current staff and pupils at Raine's and Oaklands

Witness statements filed within judicial review proceedings challenging the consultation process

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

### Section 3 – Assessing the Impacts on the Equality Groups

Please refer to the guidance notes and evidence with sources how your proposal impacts upon the equality groups and our Equality Duty (for information on the Public Sector Equality Duty, please refer to guidance notes).

**Remember -**

You must act to eliminate any potential negative impact which, if it occurred would breach the Equality Act 2010. In some situations, this could mean abandoning your proposed change as you may not be able to take action to mitigate all negative impacts.

When you act to reduce any negative impact or maximise any positive impact, you must ensure that this does not create a negative impact on service users and/or staff belonging to groups that share protected characteristics.

Reports/stats/data can be added as an Appendix.

Equality Groups	Impact			Reason(s)
	What impact will the proposal have on specific groups of service users or staff?	Positive	Neutral	
<b>Protected characteristics</b>				
Age	X			<p>Pupils at Raine’s aged 11-18 may be considered “disadvantaged” by the possible disruption caused by changing school during their secondary education, although a mitigating action is in place: this change is proposed to take place between academic years and therefore allow a new start at an appropriate time in each pupil’s yearly academic progression, minimising disruption.</p> <p>There are currently no year 10 or year 12 pupils at Raine’s. Therefore there are no students who would (if the final proposal were approved) change schools, and therefore curriculums, between years in which they were studying for national examinations (GCSEs or A Levels). The other students who would move would not be in a position where they had to switch curriculums at a critical stage in their education, and the current years 11 and 13 would have left. There are no year 7 pupils on roll.</p>

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

There is a clear benefit for all pupils moving that they would then be able to access “Good” quality sustainable education at other schools in the surrounding area as compared to Raine’s. Currently Raine’s is the only maintained “Requires Improvement” secondary school in the borough, having been judged by Ofsted as such in 2015 and 2017, and is in financial deficit, with falling rolls.

In the national GCSE benchmark of the percentage of pupils achieving grade 5/C or above in English and maths, Raine’s average student score was significantly below the Tower Hamlets and national averages in: 2015/16 (Raine’s average was 35% vs TH average of 63%); 2016/17 (Raine’s 30% vs TH 44%); and in 2017/18 (Raine’s 22% vs TH 44.8%).

Raine’s Progress 8 score is classed as “well below average” at -0.84, putting it in the bottom 13% of schools nationwide. Oaklands’ Progress 8 score is 0.68, in the top 14% of schools in England and classed as “well above average”.

In addition, the declining numbers of students in Raine’s sixth form means the range of subjects offered is restricted. The Department for Education’s recommended minimum size for a viable sixth form is 200 pupils. The number of students in Raine’s Sixth Form for the academic year 2019/20 is approximately 132 pupils, whereas there are 212 pupils in Oaklands’ Sixth Form.

86% of pupils at Raine’s stay in education or employment for at least two terms after Key Stage 4, compared to the LBTH average of 93% and an English average of 94%. For Oaklands’ pupils, it is 94%, above even the LBTH average.

Pupils at Raine’s are also far more likely to be persistently absent from school. As of 2017/19, 17.9% of pupils were persistently absent, as opposed to 7.8% at Oaklands, and an English average of 13.9%.

Therefore, it appears that children within Raine’s of all age groups will receive a higher quality of education at Oaklands, and therefore should academically benefit from moving schools, with improved educational outcomes and increased attendance. This applies with equal force as a consideration to all of the protected characteristics considered below, and should be considered as such.

There will also be an impact on staff at the school, who would be made redundant under the proposal. Their ages are:

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Age band</th> <th>% of staff</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>18-29</td> <td>11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30-39</td> <td>23%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40-49</td> <td>26%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>50-59</td> <td>29%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60-69</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70+</td> <td>3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The spread of staff across the age bands is fairly even, with those under 30 and above 60 less affected than those aged 30-59, although no age ranges are disproportionately affected. Job application and interview preparation support and training will be given to staff who wish to apply for jobs at other schools. For those staff who are of an age that would wish to explore redundancy options, the council will consider those applications. For staff wishing to apply for roles at Oaklands, they will be considered prior to other applicants. The council will also explore the possibility of ensuring displaced staff at Raine’s are guaranteed an interview for jobs at other LBTH maintained schools, should they meet the minimum requirements of the role. Staff will be fully consulted should the proposal move to formal consultation and kept informed as to timelines so as to ensure they have sufficient time to find alternative positions.</p>	Age band	% of staff	18-29	11%	30-39	23%	40-49	26%	50-59	29%	60-69	9%	70+	3%
Age band	% of staff																	
18-29	11%																	
30-39	23%																	
40-49	26%																	
50-59	29%																	
60-69	9%																	
70+	3%																	
Disability	X			<p>There are 43 pupils on roll with identified Special Educational Needs/Disabilities (SEND), 5 of whom have an Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP). Of the five who have an EHCP, one pupil comes from out of borough and two of them require 121 support. Although children with SEND may be “disadvantaged” by potentially attending a school with more children on roll and/or by the process of moving between schools, there is currently no educational provision which is reserved for pupils with SEND at Raine’s.</p> <p>However, by contrast at Oaklands there is a Special Educational Needs dedicated department that assists students with a range of educational requirements. Support is offered through a range of interventions such as Catch Up Reading, 1:1 literacy sessions, reading groups and provision for behaviour, emotional and social difficulties. Numerous partnerships with outside specialists allow students to navigate school life with confidence and achieve their potential. Post-16, an employability qualification is offered to students with special educational needs to prepare for adulthood and the world of work. There is also an ASDAN Personal and Social Development qualification to help students develop social skills.</p> <p>Full support and programmes at Oaklands are offered to students with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mild, moderate and severe learning difficulties</li> <li>• Speech and language difficulties</li> <li>• Students with Autism</li> </ul>														

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

- Students with a Hearing of Visual Impairment
- Students with a physical disability and/or mobility issues
- Students with social, emotional and mental health issues
- Students with a range of medical conditions.

Therefore, all pupils with SEND who are currently at Oaklands will be able to access increased support and provision at Oaklands, as all of the different types of need, as broken down below, are catered for:

Number of Pupils	Need
11	SPLD (Specific Learning Difficulty)
8	SEMH
9	C+I (Speech Language and Communication)
5	No specialist assessment (will have received some support but not assessment for SEN)
1	MLD
3	Sensory Impairment (2VI, 1 HI)
2	Physical Disability (denoted as other disability on the register)

Annual Reviews for students with EHCPs have already been brought forward to ensure their needs are being, and will continue to be met. The progress for students with SEND will be regularly reviewed. Support with transitions and integration into Oaklands will be offered by the Parent and Family Support Service.

Some pupils and their families may have to travel further to Oaklands, where provision has been made to accommodate all displaced pupils. This will depend on whether they chose to take the place at Oaklands, as under the proposal it is envisaged they will, or they choose to apply elsewhere. The distance for home to school travel for the 221 pupils in years 7-11 at Raine’s ranges from 0.07 to 13.7 miles. The distance for the same pupils to Oaklands School may be slightly further for some pupils. However, 65% of pupils will have to travel less than 2 miles. For reference, the average school journey for pupils aged 5-16 in England is 2.4 miles, taken over a rolling period from 2013-2017.

For any pupils requiring support with the journey, including those with SEND, school travel support is available for eligible pupils under the Council’s “Travel assistance for children in primary and secondary school” or “Travel assistance for students in further education (16-18 year olds)” policies. Currently, no pupils at Raine’s access transport through the SEND team.



**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

				<p>During the informal consultation period, some families indicated they lived closer to other local schools than Oaklands or would prefer a different school. Should this proposal enter formal consultation, further understanding of this issue would be explored. It is important to know whether those families had children with SEND at Raine's (or the parents themselves had SEND), thus making a shorter journey preferable, or whether it was the religious or educational provision at other schools that was preferable to those families. Some schools in the surrounding area have vacancies in year groups. Tower Hamlets council has committed to meeting parental preferences for school places, where possible.</p>
Sex	X			<p>With 56% of pupils at Raine's identifying as male, the proposed closure of Raine's would have more of an impact on male pupils, although a minimal one. However, this will be mitigated by the fact that boys at Oaklands achieve better results than boys at Raine's. Only 26% of boys at Raine's achieve grades 5/C in English and Maths at GCSE, compared to 53% of boys at Oaklands.</p> <p>For girls who move to Oaklands from Raine's, there would also be an educational benefit. At Raine's, only a fifth (20%) of girls achieve grades C/5 in English and Maths at GSCE, compared to almost half (48%) at Oaklands. Therefore, both male and female pupils could achieve higher educational outcomes by moving to Oaklands.</p> <p>The addition of Raine's pupils to Oaklands will also result in a more equal spread of gender. Currently, there are more girls than boys at Oaklands (52% vs 48%). This gap would be reduced by the addition of Raine's pupils, with more boys than girls relocating. This should help to ensure a greater balance between the genders.</p> <p>More female staff (59%) than male staff (41%) will be affected at Raine's, owing to the fact that more females are employed and this is normally the case at schools across the borough. As teaching roles are not gender specific, this should not have a disproportionate impact on future employment for female staff. Indeed, at Oaklands, there are also more female staff than male staff, meaning pupils moving from Raine's should not notice any difference.</p>
Gender reassignment		X		No impact identified.
Marriage or civil partnership		X		No impact identified.
Religion or belief		X		Raine's is one of two Church of England secondary schools in Tower Hamlets. 47% of pupils identify as being Christian. This is below the national average as evidenced in the last national census, where 59% of the

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

				<p>population identify as Christian, but higher than Tower Hamlets' population (30%).</p> <p>Students at Raine's receive RE in the curriculum and sit an accredited RS exam. There is a school chaplain. Church walks are held at lunchtime for students and staff, as is weekly communion mass. Students attend weekly assemblies, with an emphasis of a Christian nature. During religious holidays, whole school assemblies and mass celebrations are held, with a whole school church service at the end of term. As Oakland's is a community school, rather than a faith school, there is currently no comparable provision, but Oaklands have committed to making provision for worship and providing chapel time for Raine's pupils, if requested, to help them maintain religious observance. Oaklands also provides a Religious Education curriculum.</p> <p>For those pupils who would wish to continue at a faith school, Sir John Cass Foundation is the other CofE school in the area, although there is also Christian provision at Bishop Challoner and Canary Wharf secondary schools. Accessing places at these schools within the borough may result in an increased journey for some pupils:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Distance from Raine's to Sir John Cass: 1.2 miles (Outstanding Ofsted grade).</li><li>• Distance from Raine's to Bishop Challoner: 1.5 miles (Girls' school has an Outstanding Ofsted grade, Boys's School has a Good Ofsted Grade).</li><li>• Distance from Raine's to Canary Wharf College Crossharbour: 4.2 miles (Good Ofsted grade).</li></ul> <p>Sir John Cass, the closest CofE school to Raine's, has an open admissions criteria, so, as with Raine's, will have pupils who do not identify as Christian. However, pupils who choose not to attend Oaklands would not be guaranteed to gain a place at Sir John Cass.</p> <p>Provision outside of the borough, at Urswick School in Hackney, has been made available by the Diocese and LA. Priority places will be given to displaced pupils from Raine's. Urswick is 1.2 miles from Raine's, so closer than Bishop Challoner and Canary Wharf College Crossharbour, and the same distance as Sir John Cass.</p> <p>Oaklands is non-denominational but has a local vicar on its governing body. The addition of Raine's pupils to Oaklands would serve to make the provision more religiously diverse. By combining pupils from different backgrounds, ethnicities and religions, Oaklands could act as an exemplar of good practice in the borough. Integrating pupils from different religious backgrounds into the current pupil population at Oaklands may have a beneficial effect in fostering good relations between those in different religious groups and promote social cohesion.</p> <p>Given the disparity in educational results between Oaklands and Raines (as set out above), the proposal would</p>
--	--	--	--	---

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

				<p>also have the effect of increasing equality of opportunity for those pupils, both Christian and non-Christian, who attend Raine’s, with those of pupils attending Oaklands, or other higher-performing schools. Currently, all pupils at Raine’s are at an educational disadvantage. In the application for judicial review brought in relation to the consultation on the proposal to issue a statutory notice on the proposal to close Raine’s, it was alleged that there was an “antipathy” of Oaklands pupils towards Raine’s pupils which “may in part be based on faith”. However, there was no evidence in that claim that in relation to Oaklands that there is any <i>religious</i> motivation for any alleged disagreement between pupils.</p> <p>In the same application for judicial review, witness evidence was provided by parents who had moved their children to schools other than Oaklands. It was suggested that Christian pupils did not settle in well to schools in the area. This did not relate to Oaklands and therefore is not directly applicable to the consideration of the proposal on Raine’s and Oaklands.</p> <p>For those pupils who will move to non-faith provision at Oaklands, mitigating actions are laid out in the action plan below.</p> <p>Raine’s is no longer the school of choice for most families with a Christian faith as the proportion of children that have applied from CofE primary schools to Raine’s in the last five years has fallen. In 2015, 22.3% of children transferring from CofE primary schools applied to Raine’s, compared to 14.8% in 2019. This indicates that Christian pupils are predominantly having their needs met through alternative secondary educational provision in the Council’s area rather than Raine’s. Nonetheless, there will be an impact on this prospective secondary school population based on religion, as around 14.8% of pupils moving from CofE primary schools (and also other pupils not currently attending CofE primary schools but seeking to attend a CofE secondary school) will not be able to access a CofE education at Raine’s, although there are alternative options for a Christian education available both in and outside of the borough.</p> <p>The same considerations as set out above in relation to alternative CofE and Christian educational provision apply to these pupils. Having more Christian students in non-denominational schools may also help to foster good relations between different religious groups due to increased interaction, if managed effectively.</p>						
Race		X		<p>31% of pupils at Raine’s are White British, with no other majority ethnicity, so this ethnic group will be impacted the most. Only 3% of students at Oaklands are White British, with the majority of pupils (80%) Bangladeshi. A full breakdown is below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="772 1372 1601 1420"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="772 1372 1198 1420">Ethnicity</th> <th data-bbox="1198 1372 1388 1420">Raine's</th> <th data-bbox="1388 1372 1601 1420">Oaklands</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ethnicity	Raine's	Oaklands			
Ethnicity	Raine's	Oaklands								

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

	Pupils	Pupils
Bangladeshi	7%	80.47%
Black - Congolese	1%	0.00%
Black - Ghanaian	2%	0.00%
Black - Nigerian	2%	0.00%
Black - Somali	4%	3.27%
Black and any other ethnic group	1%	1.87%
Black Caribbean	8%	0.70%
Chinese	0%	0.47%
Indian	0%	0.94%
Information Not Yet Obtained	4%	0.00%
Other Asian	0%	1.29%
Other Black	4%	0.47%
Other Black African	6%	1.75%
Other mixed background	1%	1.29%
Pakistani	0%	0.35%
Portuguese	1%	0.00%
Turkish	2%	0.94%
Vietnamese	1%	0.12%
White - English	33%	3.39%
White + any other Asian	1%	0.82%
White and Black African	1%	0.23%
White and Black Caribbean	7%	0.23%
White Eastern European	3%	0.00%
White European	2%	0.94%
White Other	1%	0.47%
White Western European	1%	0.00%

The Council and leadership at Raine's and Oaklands are cognizant of the different demographics of the two

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

			<p>communities and aware that this may present possible challenges in uniting them. However, despite earlier allegations of difficulties between the school communities, there is no evidence that any difficulties experienced were motivated by race following Raine’s parents and pupils visiting the Oaklands site during the summer term (i.e. there were no racial epithets used or reported). It is noted that children can be territorial about their schools for reasons unrelated to protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010. Mitigating actions are laid out in the action plan below.</p> <p>The Council is aware from the judicial review application referred to above that evidence was submitted by parents who had moved their children to schools other than Oaklands where they were concerned that their child would be in a minority racial group and that this may cause a “distinction” to be made by other pupils This is significantly less likely to happen at Oaklands if a large body of former Raine’s students move at once to the school as opposed to a single student.</p> <p>The Council considers that greater integration of children from different racial groups at Oaklands as compared to Raine’s is likely to be beneficial to fostering good relations between individuals in different ethnic and/or racial groups, as children will have the opportunity to make friends with children from different races to themselves to a greater extent at a formative stage of their personal development. This is particularly so with Bangladeshi pupils , as these are under-represented at Raine’s, and White British pupils, as these are under-represented at Oaklands.</p> <p>As with the impact on other protected characteristics, Oaklands is a higher performing school than Raine’s, therefore enabling a greater percentage of white British pupils to attend there than before is likely to advance equality of opportunity between racial groups, by raising educational attainment opportunity for white British children, and others.</p> <p>Raine’s currently has various schemes with external organisations (from the Raine’s Foundation Trust, which provides scholarships in maths and sports and the opportunity to explore careers in the legal profession). At Oaklands, there will similar, if not more opportunities for Raine’s students. A comprehensive range of extra-curricular activities including sports, film, a “Bank of England” club, spoken word, a project run by the National Theatre and debating are run at lunchtime and afterschool. The Tower Hamlets Education Business Partnership support the school with work experience, which is enhanced by leaders in business supporting pupils in year 11 with interview preparation.</p>
Sexual orientation		X	No impact identified.
Pregnancy or maternity		X	No impact identified.

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

<b>Other</b>			
Socio-economic		X	<p>Roughly the same proportion of students at Raine’s and Oaklands qualify for Free School Meals: 69.7% at Raine’s up to 2017/18, and 65% at Oaklands. Therefore, although there may be perceived cultural differences, the social-economic backgrounds of pupils will be broadly the same.</p> <p>Uniform grants will be provided for parents to purchase a new school uniform when this is required. The uniform grant will be in line with the current school clothing grant that is provided for children when they transfer from primary to secondary school, which is currently £110.</p> <p>Raine’s Foundation Trust currently offers scholarships in maths and sports, of which 6 students are in receipt of. The Trust would have to decide whether to continue to offer said scholarships.</p> <p>The Upper School building in Approach Road, E2, is currently rented to an external organisation to run community sports activities outside of school hours. This organisation will be provided with the opportunity to continue to run its provision out of a nearby alternative venue with similar sports facilities. Currently, community groups are able to rent rooms/halls at Raine’s Upper Site for a variety of purposes including, but not limited to, Irish dancing classes, badminton, Queen Mary’s Student Union, church groups and various corporate events. None of these bookings require specialist equipment, and the facilities at Raine’s are replicated in other schools in the borough. Alternative venues would have to be sourced and offered, and could provide a stream of funding for another school or community venue.</p> <p>Comments were received during the consultation process that pupil projections do not take into account the number of new developments being built. However, those developments are not family homes. Therefore, pupil projection numbers, as per the Primary Place Planning report, demonstrate that future demand for places will not be around Bethnal Green. Tower Hamlets’ has a duty to ensure the right provision is in the right place at the right time, in order to give every chance to every child.</p> <p>The air quality at Raine’s and its surroundings is better than other secondary school locations around the borough. However, this has not detracted parents from relocating to other areas in Tower Hamlets, nor prevented them from applying to different secondary schools, thereby suggesting that, when balanced against educational standards, air quality is not a top priority for parents. It is also is not enough of a factor for the LA to reasonably consider as a basis for keeping a school in financial deficit, with a falling roll, open.</p>
Parents/Carers		X	<p>Raine’s Foundation has a proud history as a 300-year provider of education to the children for East London. The LA is aware of the importance of keeping the name of Henry Raine alive in the borough. 34% of respondents to the informal consultation were parents at the school and 30% were ex-students, some of whom were</p>

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

				concerned about the loss of tradition and recognition that Raine's used to be a good school. However, if a decision is ultimately taken to close Raine's, a commitment has been made to the Raine's Foundation Trust by the LA to consider ways in which to preserve to legacy and name of Raine's in Tower Hamlets.
--	--	--	--	--

**Section 4 – Statutory Duties**

Tick the relevant box(es) to indicate whether the proposed change will adversely impact on the Council's ability to meet any aspect of the Public Sector Duty as set out in the Equality Act 2010:

Advancing equality of opportunity between people who belong to protected groups

Eliminating unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation

Fostering good relations between people who belong to protected characteristic groups

If the proposed change adversely impacts on the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out above, mitigating actions must be outlined in the Action Plan in Section 5 below.

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

## Section 5 - Action Plan

As a result of these conclusions and recommendations what actions (if any) **will** be included in your business planning and wider review processes (team plan)? Please consider any gaps or areas needing further attention in the table below the example.

### Example

Recommendation	Key activity	Progress milestones including target dates for either completion or progress	Officer responsible	Progress
1. Better collection of feedback, consultation and data sources  2. Non-discriminatory behaviour	1. Create and use feedback forms. Consult other providers and experts  2. Regular awareness at staff meetings. Train staff in specialist courses	1. Forms ready for January 2020 Start consultations Jan 2020  2. Raise awareness at one staff meeting a month. At least 2 specialist courses to be run per year for staff.	1.NR & PB  2. NR	

### Your action plan

Recommendation	Key activity	Progress milestones including target dates for either completion or progress	Officer responsible	Progress
1) Ensuring CofE provision is available at Oaklands and access to Christian education is supported in the Council's area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diocese to work closely with Oaklands to ensure that Raine's pupils receive high quality Religious Education and are supported in their spiritual development outside of lessons. Council to support the same.</li> <li>Council to support pupils attending other Christian schools (within the spare spaces available within the schools PAN for the</li> </ul>	<p>Discussions to begin if the decision is taken to close Raine's. Timetable to be developed later if a formal consultation leads to a decision to close Raine's, as there is sufficient time thereafter to structure and implement (post Spring 2020).</p> <p>Decision on primary to secondary school progression to be made through the offers made in March 2020.</p>		



*NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.*

	<p>relevant year group) if that is their preference instead of Oaklands. The same applies for current primary school children, who may have otherwise sought to attend Raine’s on the basis of their religion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council to work with Urswick School in Hackney to ensure that priority places will be given to displaced pupils from Raine’s.</li> </ul>			
<p>2) Action to be taken on promoting cohesion between pupils from Raine’s and Oaklands (in general and in relation to religion and race specifically)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A united approach must be taken by the schools and LA, making clear that any potential joint venture between the schools will move forward with tolerance, respect and cooperation paramount, in line with universal “British Values”.</li> <li>• Meeting between leadership at the Council, Oaklands and Raine’s to discuss best way to communicate with their respective student bodies and set expectations of appropriate behaviour. Leadership to consider sanctions where students do not meet these expectations and other measures to support an equalities culture at the schools.</li> <li>• An organisation called “New</li> </ul>	<p>If proposal agreed</p>		

*NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.*

	<p><b>Direction” will develop a programme of activities for pupils to help build relationships between the two schools.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A joint steering group has been set up to oversee progress and arrange for further transition support if required.</li> </ul>			
<p><b>3) Continue to add to EIA as further data and feedback becomes available</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Formal consultation results and responses to be analysed and the EIA to be updated in respect of this analysis, if the Council issues a statutory notice and enters into the formal consultation stage.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Council to consider matters throughout the formal consultation. EIA to be updated prior to any final decision by the Council on the proposal.</b></p>		
<p><b>4) Minimise disruption to students in changing schools</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change under proposal should take effect between academic years which provides a natural break.</li> <li>• Leadership team at Oaklands to organise induction day and/or meetings with Raine’s students to introduce them to new school</li> <li>• A steering group will be set up to oversee progress and arrange for further transition support if required.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Meetings to take place in summer term 2020, if proposal approved.</b></p>		
<p><b>5) Assist Raine’s staff to find alternative</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communicate the decision if made to enable staff to prepare.</li> </ul>	<p><b>If and when proposal approved.</b></p>		

*NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.*

<p><b>employment</b></p>	<p>After that, then make staff aware of employment opportunities at other schools in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Job application and interview support to be offered to all staff.</li> <li>• Council to explore redundancy opportunities for staff who wish to consider retirement.</li> <li>• Council to explore guaranteed interview scheme for Raine's staff.</li> </ul>			
<p>6) Ensure Raine's pupils with SEND experience a smooth transition and receive appropriate support with their education at Oaklands</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual Reviews to be brought forward</li> <li>• Transition support to be provided by Parent and Family Support Service</li> </ul>	<p>DONE</p> <p>Summer Term 2020</p>	<p>J.O'S</p>	
<p>7) Assist parents / carers integrate with school through parent/ family support programme and existing communication networks</p>	<p>Support schools to engage parents and carers and provide access to information and support pre transfer, during induction and post transition</p> <p>Council (PET) to support schools establish discussion groups / drop-in for parents / carers to support induction</p> <p>Support schools develop 'new school' information / support package for families</p>	<p>Council (PET) to support schools establish discussion groups / drop-in for parents / carers to support induction</p> <p>Support schools develop 'new school' information / support package for families</p>		

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

## **Section 6 – Monitoring**

Have monitoring processes been put in place to check the delivery of the above action plan and impact on equality groups?

Yes?  No?




Please state how this will be undertaken.

A steering group has been set up. This group will meet regularly if the proposal is agreed to monitor the progress and impact of the mitigating actions as laid out above.

**NOTE: Where a proposal is being taken to a Committee, please append the completed equality analysis to the cover report.**

## **Appendix A**

### **Equality Assessment Criteria**

<b>Decision</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Risk</b>
As a result of performing the analysis, it is evident that a risk of discrimination exists (direct, indirect, unintentional or otherwise) to one or more of the nine groups of people who share <i>Protected Characteristics</i> . It is recommended that the use of the policy be suspended until further work or analysis is performed.	<b>Suspend – Further Work Required</b>	<b>Red</b> 
As a result of performing the analysis, it is evident that a risk of discrimination exists (direct, indirect, unintentional or otherwise) to one or more of the nine groups of people who share <i>Protected Characteristics</i> . However, a genuine determining reason may exist that could legitimise or justify the use of this policy.	<b>Further (specialist) advice should be taken</b>	<b>Red Amber</b> 
As a result of performing the analysis, it is evident that a risk of discrimination (as described above) exists and this risk may be removed or reduced by implementing the actions detailed within the <i>Action Planning</i> section of this document.	<b>Proceed pending agreement of mitigating action</b>	<b>Amber</b> 
As a result of performing the analysis, the policy, project or function does not appear to have any adverse effects on people who share <i>Protected Characteristics</i> and no further actions are recommended at this stage.	<b>Proceed with implementation</b>	<b>Green:</b> 