

Appendix Eight.

General Questions asked at the Additional licensing public consultation meetings and responses

- Concern that landlords are penalised due to second homes taxation and is unfair on landlords that extra cost is a burden on landlords and tenants
- Supportive of licensing scheme because it helps vulnerable tenants to keep them safe as sometimes tenants are exploited through high rents
- Do not believe that the licensing scheme is effective as have properties in Newham. Do not think that Newham's scheme achieved what they aim to achieve. Don't think that the scheme has improved property standards. Believed that it's a way to drive out landlords and propaganda.
- The consultation process has been flawed
There is significant relevant information missing both from the relevant reports for councillors and from the consultation
The logic behind the key report for councillors to make a decision on is flawed
- A few technical errors in the standards such as mentioning of bath but not a shower – mentioned that a mains fed interlinked alarm is required but do not mention whether wireless is suitable. The standards are very specific and do not seem to allow for other options.
- Think that it's good to regulate landlords and improve conditions and improve the quality of good landlords as will drive out rogue landlords.
- Concerns around the accuracy of the Dr. Mayhew Report and statistics in relation to ASB and private housing.

