Appendix Seven

Online Questions written responses to Additional licensing

Individual/ Organisation	Summary of submission	Main points raised
Residents	Support proposal Anti-social behaviour such as noise, littering, fly-	Supportive of proposal to introduce additional licensing which will have more control over rogue landlords
	tipping, excessive rubbish in neighbourhood	Better regulation of HMOs as current HMOs are poorly managed in the area
Residents	Supportive of the proposal to introduce Additional	Will combat overcrowding issues in the borough Landlords need to be charged for making profit Exploiting tenants with high cost rents
Residents	Support proposal	Enforcement and compliance should be reasonable which should target the higher risk property. That most enforcement effort is aimed towards rogue landlords Vs good landlords.
Residents	Against proposal	 More bureaucracy additional cost Onerous on landlords Big brother approach Not good idea to implement during housing crisis Less flexibility for homeowners to rent out their property

Agent	Against Proposal	 Licensing without enforcement is a money making tax levied against responsible landlords Current schemes in other boroughs are not effective Lack of enforcement on these schemes – what is the money spent on Implementation will push the buy-to-let landlords out of the market Tower hamlets do not have a bad track record with rogue landlords Additional taxation on landlords will force out responsible landlords instead of the bad ones as no enforcement on the 'rogue landlords'
Resident	Fee	Proposed fee is too low for licence when landlords make thousands in rent
Tenants	Against	 Not effective from other boroughs Money making scheme vs improving standards Don't feel additional licensing will rectified this Don't used enforcement to improve the conditions
Tenants	Against	 Worried about additional cost being passed to tenants Tenants are not aware of their legal rights
Mansion Property Management Ltd (MPM) (student accommodation)	Against	 Licence fees are too expensive for bad landlords As a members of ANUK our standards are high and comply with code Good landlords will bear the burden of the cost and bad landlords avoid the cost

Block manager – First Port	Support proposal	Will assist with multiple lets in particular areas with problems with litter, rubbish being dump and poor rubbish disposal practices
Private Landlord	Against	Too costly and expensive the proposed fee
Private Landlord	Against	Licensing does not result in good properties run by good landlords
Resident	Support the proposal	 Adequate measures to regulate private rented housing Provide secure housing for tenants Landlords benefiting from financial gained but not regulated
Resident	Support proposal	Fit and proper test should take regard to whether there are restrictions on letting to multiple lets.
Private Landlords	Against	 Penalised good landlords whilst bad landlords escape the burden Extremely bureaucratic Council have sufficient existing enforcement powers – inadequate enforcement Additional costs on landlords and tenants
Private Landlords	Against	 No improvement to the private housing sector, no adequate enforcement Licensing will force landlords to go under the radars Licensing is a confusing process Will create a shortage of HMOs. Councils have existing powers to deal with poor standards and management

Tenant	Support the proposal	 First-hand experience of being exploited by landlord on rent and poor housing conditions Landlord did not licence the property and resulted in tenant living in substandard condition
Landlord	Against	 Questions regarding the licence policy Large private rented sector and whether the council is able to handle the increase in licensing requests
Resident	Support proposal	Should include Airbnb