

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

COUNCIL MEETING

WEDNESDAY 21ST JUNE, 2006

**QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

AGENDA ITEM NO. 6

**REPORT OF THE INTERIM HEAD OF
DEMOCRATIC RENEWAL
AND ENGAGEMENT**

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 Set out overleaf are the questions submitted by members of the public for response by the appropriate Lead Member(s). Responses of Lead Members to the questions submitted will be tabled at the Council meeting on Wednesday 21st June, 2006.
- 1.2 The Council's Constitution provides a time limit of thirty minutes for this item.
- 1.3 A questioner who has put a question in person may also put one brief supplementary question without notice to the Member who has replied to his or her original question. A supplementary question must arise directly out of the original request or reply.
- 1.4 Any question which cannot be dealt with during the thirty minutes allocated for public questions, either because of lack of time or because of non-attendance of the Member to whom it was put, will be dealt with by way of a written answer.
- 1.5 Unless the Mayor decides otherwise, no discussion will take place on any question, but any Member of the Council may move, without discussion, that the matter raised by a question be referred for consideration to the Cabinet or the appropriate Committee or Sub-Committee.

2. **QUESTIONS**

The questions which have been submitted are set out below.

2.1 **Question from Mr T. McGreener, Devons Road, E3, to Councillor Sirajul Islam, Deputy Leader of the Council**

"How many members of staff have been disciplined or dismissed following complaints by the public?"

2.2 **Question from Ms J. Iremonger Hughes Mansions, Vallance Road, E1, to Councillor Rupert Bawden, Lead Member for Development and Housing**

"Why is a private firm used to obtain the views of doctors, (an expensive method, with presumably qualified GP'S), i.e. to determine if a person should have priority on health grounds, depending on criteria which I feel should be disclosed to us, which it no doubt would be, if there is an appeal and the applicant's GP is consulted?"