


<p>Non-Executive Report of the:</p> <p><b>Council</b></p> <p><b>Wednesday, 22 January 2025</b></p>	 <p><b>TOWER HAMLETS</b></p>
<p><b>Report of:</b> Linda Walker, Monitoring Officer</p>	<p><b>Classification:</b> Open (Unrestricted)</p>
<p><b>Proportionality and Allocation of Places on Committees of the Council 2024/25</b></p>	

<b>Originating Officer(s)</b>	Matthew Mannion, (Head of Democratic Services)
<b>Wards affected</b>	(All Wards);

### Executive Summary

This report considers proportionality as required by section 15 of the Local Government & Housing Act 1989 ('The 1989 Act').

This report follows on from the report presented to the Council meeting on 20 November 2024 which Members deferred for consideration at this meeting.

A number of changes had occurred before the November Council meeting to the political balance at the Council and these need to be reflected in the Council's proportionality calculations.

### Recommendations:

The Council is recommended to:

1. Consider the review of proportionality as at Section 3 of this report and the allocation of seats on Committees and Sub-Committees for the remainder of the Municipal Year 2024/25 as set out at paragraph 3.4 to 3.5.
2. Note the Committees set out for the municipal year 2024/25 listed in paragraph 3.5 as agreed at the Annual Council meeting held on Wednesday 15 May 2024.
3. Agree any changes to the memberships (and substitutes) of those Committees as tabled at this Council meeting for approval.
4. To agree that the Monitoring Officer is delegated to approve the appointment of Councillors required to fill positions during the municipal year in line with the proportionality arrangements set out in this report on the nomination of the respective group leaders.

5. Agree that the Monitoring Officer be delegated to approve the appointment of ungrouped Councillors to any committee places not allocated by the Council to a political group, after consultation with those Councillors and the Speaker of the Council where there is only one application for the position (where there is more than one application these will be presented to Council for decision).

## **1. REASONS FOR THE DECISIONS**

- 1.1 Changes to the political composition of the Council require a review of the proportionality calculations for the Council's Committees.

## **2. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- 2.1 Council could determine a different arrangement of Committees to perform the required decision making and scrutiny functions and could also agree different changes to the proportionality on specific Committees to meet the same overall proportionality rules.

## **3. DETAILS OF THE REPORT**

- 3.1 Section 15(1) of the 1989 Act requires the Council at, or as soon as practicable after, the Annual Meeting to carry out a review to determine the allocation to the political groups of seats on the Committees/ Panels of the Council. The principles which must be adopted are:
  - that in relation to each body covered by the Act, all seats are not allocated to the same political group;
  - that the majority of seats on each body must go to the political group with the majority on the Council (if any);
  - that subject to (i) and (ii) the number of seats on the total of all the ordinary Committees/ Panels of the authority allocated to each group bears the same proportion as that group's proportion of the seats on the full Council; and
  - that subject to the above three principles, the number of seats on each ordinary Committee of the authority allocated to each political group bears the same proportion as that group's proportion of the seats on the full Council.
- 3.2 Once the political groups have been allocated their places in accordance with the above rules, the Council may appoint ungrouped members to any remaining positions.

- 3.3 Neither the Cabinet, any executive sub-groups of the Cabinet, nor the Tower Hamlets Health and Wellbeing Board, are covered by the requirement for proportionality.
- 3.4 Following the recent changes, the political composition of the Council is therefore as follows:

<b>GROUP</b>	<b>SEATS (on Council)</b>	<b>PROPORTION ON COUNCIL</b>	<b>ENTITLEMENT (to seats on Committees)</b>
Aspire	22	48.89%	37.64 (38)
Labour	16	35.56%	27.38 (27)
Ungrouped	7	0*	12 (remainder)
	45		77

\* Ungrouped Councillors are not included in the proportionality calculation. As two Councillors are required to form a group the Conservative, Green and Independent Councillors are included in the 'ungrouped' designation above.

#### **Allocation of Places on Committees**

- 3.5 As there is no majority group, the allocation of places on Committees is set out below to match the seat entitlements set out in the table in paragraph 3.4:

<b>Committee</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Aspire</b>	<b>Labour</b>	<b>Ungrouped</b>
<b>Licensing Committee</b>	15	7	5	3
<b>Overview and Scrutiny (plus 2 co-optees)</b>	11	5	4	2
<b>Strategic Development Committee</b>	9	4	3	2
<b>General Purposes Committee</b>	9	4	3	2
<b>Audit Committee</b>	7	4	3	
<b>Human Resources Committee</b>	7	4	3	
<b>Development Committee</b>	7	4	2	1
<b>Pensions Committee</b>	7	3	2	2

<b>Standards Advisory Committee (plus 5 co-optees)</b>	5	3	2	
<b>TOTALS</b>	77	38	27	12

- 3.6 Where appointments need to be made in between Council meetings, the Monitoring Officer has authority to agree these with the exception of cases where there are more ungrouped Member nominations than there are seats for ungrouped Members in which case Council will be asked to make a determination.
- 3.7 It is for the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to agree arrangements for its own sub-committees which would now be balanced as follows:

<b>Committee</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Aspire</b>	<b>Labour</b>	<b>Ungrouped</b>
<b>Scrutiny Sub-Committee</b>	7	3	2	2

#### **4. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1 None specific to this report.

#### **5. OTHER STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1 This section of the report is used to highlight further specific statutory implications that are either not covered in the main body of the report or are required to be highlighted to ensure decision makers give them proper consideration. Examples of other implications may be:
- Best Value Implications,
  - Consultations,
  - Environmental (including air quality),
  - Risk Management,
  - Crime Reduction,
  - Safeguarding.
  - Data Protection / Privacy Impact Assessment.

- 5.2 Nil items.

#### **6. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER**

- 6.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

#### **7. COMMENTS OF LEGAL SERVICES**

- 7.1 The principles of proportionality for allocation of places on committees are set out in Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. These

principles require that that the number of seats on the ordinary committees of a relevant authority which are allocated to each political group bears the same proportion to the total of all the seats on the ordinary committees of that authority as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the authority. Further detailed rules for the allocation of seats on committees are set out in the Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990.

- 7.2 At the Annual Meeting of Council on 15 May 2024, approval was given to the Director of Legal & Interim Monitoring Officer to approve appointments of Councillors.
- 7.3 Part B, Section 24 (Functions of the Chief Executive, Monitoring Officer, Chief Officers and Corporate Directors) authorises the Monitoring Officer to make appointments to the Council's Committees in line with agreed proportionality calculations as nominated by the Council's political groups.
- 

## **Linked Reports, Appendices and Background Documents**

### **Linked Report**

- None

### **Appendices**

- None

### **Local Government Act, 1972 Section 100D (As amended)**

#### **List of "Background Papers" used in the preparation of this report**

List any background documents not already in the public domain including officer contact information.

- None.

#### **Officer contact details for documents:**

N/A