

Non-Executive Report of the: Health and Adults Scrutiny Sub-Committee 6 th July 2022	 TOWER HAMLETS
Report of: Sharon Godman, Director for Strategy, Improvement and Transformation	Classification: Unrestricted
Inner North East London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (INEL JHOSC)	

Originating Officer(s)	Afazul Hoque, Head of Service, Corporate Strategy and Communities
Wards affected	All Wards

Executive Summary

This report sets out the purpose of the Inner Northeast London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (INEL JHOSC) and also seeks to confirm two Member reps from the Health and Adults Scrutiny Sub-Committee (HASC) to join the HASC Chair with INEL JHOSC activities.

Recommendations:

The Health and Adults Scrutiny Sub-Committee is recommended to:

1. Note and agree the terms of reference for INEL JHOSC
2. Appoint two Member representative to join the HASC chair with INEL JHOSC activities

1. REASONS FOR THE DECISIONS

- 1.1 INEL JHOSC is a statutory committee under section 245 of the NHS Act 2006 and Local Authority (OSC health Scrutiny functions) regulations 2002 and considers London wide and local NHS service developments and changes that impact authorities including Tower Hamlets.

2. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 2.1 Have no Member representation from Tower Hamlets, this is not an option as NHS remit is cross cutting and will impact health services in Tower Hamlets and there is a requirement for Health scrutiny to be engaged with statutory Health consultations under section 245 of the NHS 2006 Act.

3. DETAILS OF THE REPORT

- 3.1 The Inner North East London Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee (INEL JHOSC) comprises of London Boroughs: Hackney, Newham, Tower Hamlets and City of London Corporation.
- 3.2 The Committee's remit is to consider London wide and local NHS service developments and changes that impact all the authorities mentioned above. The Committee will meet as required and is established in accordance with section 245 of the NHS Act 2006 and Local Authority (Overview and Scrutiny Committees Health Scrutiny Functions) Regulations 2002.
- 3.3 The INEL JHOSC is responsible for reviewing and scrutinising any matter relating to the planning, provision and operation of the health services in joint areas and across boroughs (as set out in appendix 1 Terms of Reference and Appendix 2 Protocol) .
- 3.4 INEL JHOSC Considers and responds to any health matter which:
- Impacts on two or more participating local authorities or on the sub region as a whole, and for which a response has been requested by NHS organisations under Section 244 of the NHS Act 2006; and
 - All participating local authorities agree to consider as an INEL JHOSC
- 3.5 INEL JHOSC collectively considers whether a specific proposal within the STP that's is not a substantial development or variation is only relevant for one authority and therefore should be referred to that local authority's Health Scrutiny Committee for scrutiny
- 3.6 INEL JHOSC requires the relevant local NHS body to provide information about the proposals under consideration and where appropriate to require the attendance of a representative of the NHS body to answer such questions as appear to it to be necessary for the discharge of its function

Membership

- 3.7 The INEL JHOSC is a committee serviced by the participating local authorities on a two-yearly cycle – the current local authority hosting the INEL JHOSC is the London Borough of Hackney in accordance with section 101(5) of the Local Government 1972

- 3.8 The membership is made up of three members from each of the larger participating local authorities and one from the City of London Corporation; making a total of 13 members.

4. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 INEL JHOSC's role will scrutinise health Inequalities which are faced across Inner East London's patch as part of its consideration for London wide and local NHS service developments and changes that impact all the authorities mentioned.

5. OTHER STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 This section of the report is used to highlight further specific statutory implications that are either not covered in the main body of the report or are required to be highlighted to ensure decision makers give them proper consideration. Examples of other implications may be:

- Best Value Implications,
- Consultations,
- Environmental (including air quality),
- Risk Management,
- Crime Reduction,
- Safeguarding.
- Data Protection / Privacy Impact Assessment.

- 5.2 [Report authors should identify any other specific issues relevant to consideration of this report. Including, but not limited to, the issues noted above. This section of the report can also be used to re-emphasise particular issues that Members must have considered before taking the decision (for example issues that may come up if an objection was taken to court). Note – Paragraph 5.1 MUST NOT be deleted.]

6. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER

- 6.1 [Financial implications to be prepared by Directorate Finance Manager and agreed with Corporate Finance]

7. COMMENTS OF LEGAL SERVICES

- 7.1 [Legal implications to be inserted when Financial Implications have been completed].

Linked Report

- List any linked reports
-
- State NONE if none.

Appendices

- IINEL JHOSC Terms of Reference
- INEL JHOSC Protocol

Local Government Act, 1972 Section 100D (As amended)

List of “Background Papers” used in the preparation of this report

List any background documents not already in the public domain including officer contact information.

- These must be sent to Democratic Services with the report
- State NONE if none.

Officer contact details for documents:

N/A