

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS
RECORD OF THE DECISIONS OF THE CABINET

HELD AT 5.43 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, 30 NOVEMBER 2022

**C1, 1ST FLOOR, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE, 5 CLOVE CRESCENT,
LONDON, E14 2BG**

Members Present in Person:

Mayor Lutfur Rahman	
Councillor Maium Talukdar	(Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Education, Youth and Lifelong Learning (Statutory Deputy Mayor))
Councillor Kabir Ahmed	(Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Inclusive Development and Housebuilding)
Councillor Ohid Ahmed	(Cabinet Member for Safer Communities)
Councillor Saied Ahmed	(Cabinet Member for Resources and the Cost of Living)
Councillor Suluk Ahmed	(Cabinet Member for Equalities and Social Inclusion)
Councillor Gulam Kibria Choudhury	(Cabinet Member for Health, Wellbeing and Social Care)
Councillor Abu Chowdhury	(Cabinet Member for Jobs, Skills and Growth)
Councillor Iqbal Hossain	(Cabinet Member for Culture and Recreation)

Other Councillors Present in Person:

Councillor Peter Golds
Councillor Musthak Ahmed

Other Councillors In Attendance Virtually:

Councillor Sirajul Islam

Officers Present in Person:

Kieran Andrieu	(Head of External Relations, Mayor's Office)
Kevin Bartle	(Interim Corporate Director, Resources & Section 151 Officer)
Michael Darby	(Head of Parking & Mobility Services)
Janet Fasan	(Director of Legal & Monitoring Officer)
Amy Jackson	(Head of Mayor's Office)
Denise Radley	(Corporate Director, Health, Adults & Community)
Ann Sutcliffe	(Corporate Director, Place)
James Thomas	(Corporate Director, Children and Culture)
Warwick Tomsett	Joint Director, Integrated Commissioning
Will Tuckley	(Chief Executive)
Kelly Duggan	HOS Youth Justice & Young People's Service • LBTH - Childrens Services
Matthew Mannion	(Head of Democratic Services)

Officers In Attendance Virtually:

Jane Abraham	(Head of Capital Delivery)
Afazul Hoque	(Head of Corporate Strategy & Policy)
Jennifer Peters	(Divisional Director, Planning and Building Control, Place)

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillor Kabir Hussain, Cabinet Member for Environment and the Climate Emergency.

2. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND OTHER INTERESTS

There were no Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests.

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

DECISION

1. That the unrestricted minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on Wednesday 26 October 2022 be approved and signed by the Chair as a correct record of proceedings.

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS (IF ANY) FROM THE MAYOR

See the minutes.

5. OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

5.1 Chair's Advice of Key Issues or Questions

A Pre-Decision Scrutiny Question and officer response were tabled in respect of Agenda Item 6.4 (Housing Revenue Account (HRA) 2022-25 – Capital Programme: Latest position. These were considered in relation to the relevant Agenda Item.

5.2 Any Unrestricted Decisions "Called in" by the Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Nil items.

6. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION

6.1 Reintroduction of four main parent Controlled Parking Zones

DECISION

1. To amend the Terms and Conditions for Resident Permits so as to reintroduce the four Parent Zones (A,B,C,D) and allow permit holders to be able to park all day within their respective Parent Zone.
2. To note the Equalities Impact Assessment / specific equalities considerations as set out in Paragraph 5 of the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, PLACE (A. SUTCLIFFE)

(Head of Parking, Mobility and Market Services (M. Darby))

Reasons for the decision

Included as part of the Mayoral Pledges, to restore the right of those who hold one-zone borough parking permits to park all day in the Parent Zone where they live, free of charge.

Alternative options

Alternative option is not to amend the Terms and Conditions for resident permits and keep them as they currently are.

6.2 1 Hour Free Parking for Markets

DECISION

1. To introduce a one-hour free parking facility close to markets on market days in the 248 parking bays identified.
2. To approve the amendments and introduction of parking bays for the Whitechapel Road Market, as detailed in Paragraph 3.3 of the report, and for the necessary Traffic Management Order making process to be carried out, if the decision is to proceed with the introduction of one-hour free parking.
3. To note the specific equalities considerations as set out in Paragraph 4 of the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, PLACE (A. SUTCLIFFE)

(Head of Parking, Mobility and Market Services (M. Darby))

Reasons for the decision

The Mayor's 2022 manifesto included a clear commitment to 'protect and support our markets across the borough' as part of pledges to support local business.

The Mayor's manifesto included a specific pledge to introduce '**one-hour free parking for customers stopping to shop at our markets.**'

These commitments to support our local economy are also set out in the council's Strategic Plan, specifically Priority 4 which seeks to 'boost culture, business, jobs and leisure'.

The Strategic Plan also includes the commitment to introduce one-hour free parking for customers stopping to shop at our markets.

These commitments follow on from the recent Covid pandemic and the current Ukrainian conflict, energy price increases and inflation rises resulting in a cost-of-living crisis, there is an argument that reduced parking charges will help to promote visitors to markets. It will also help to retain existing customers who drive and might have considered not coming because of the cost.

Furthermore, providing a one-hour free parking facility at markets may support residents who are facing a cost-of-living crisis and rapidly rising household bills.

Mary Portas, an expert on retail, argues that parking charges are taking away trade from High Streets to retail parks and thereby undermining the vitality of town centres. One of her 28 recommendations from a review of the High Streetⁱ is that local areas should implement free controlled parking schemes that work for their town centres, and we should have a new parking league table.

Alternatively, a 10-year-old review carried out for London Councils in 2012 on the relevance of parking in the success of urban centresⁱⁱ found that; more parking does not necessarily mean greater commercial success. There is no such thing as 'free' parking as there are costs for developing, maintaining, and controlling parking spaces. Shopkeepers consistently overestimate the share of their customers coming by car and whilst car drivers spend more on a single trip, shoppers who walk or use public transport spend more over a week or a month. When shoppers were asked about what attracts them the answers were a good mix of shops and services and a quality environment.

Alternative options

Alternative options are not to provide the one-hour free parking facility OR to make it available borough wide.

6.3 Recommendation for Direct Award of Learning Disability Supported Accommodation Contracts AHS5141, AHS5144 and AHS5142

DECISION

- 1) To authorise the Corporate Director, Health, Adults and Community to award a contract without competition to Outward Housing for the provision of a supported accommodation building and support service. The service

will be delivered from 3 schemes Albert Cottages, Fenton Street and Buxton Street in which adults with Learning Disability will live as well as receive support.

The contract will run for a period of 3 years plus 2 years extension with a maximum annual value of £441,941 for year 1 of the contract. For 3 years the contract value is in the region of £1,402,227 plus any London Living Wage and inflationary increases that are yet to be agreed.

These values may be amended in future years of the contract period to incorporate further inflationary changes. Any changes will be made in line with Corporate agreements and budget availability. The Mayor will be consulted on any changes.

- 2) To authorise the Corporate Director, Health, Adults and Community to award a contract without competition to Look Ahead Care and Support (LACS), for provision of a supported accommodation building and support service. The service will be delivered from Mary Jones Court from where adults with Learning Disability will live as well as receive support.

The contract will run for a period of 3 years plus 2 years extension with an annual value of £336,987 for year 1 of the contract. For 3 years the contract value is in the region of £1,069,258 plus any London Living Wage and inflationary increases yet to be agreed.

These values may be amended in future years of the contract period to incorporate further inflationary changes. Any changes will be made in line with Corporate agreements and budget availability. The Mayor will be consulted on any changes.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, HEALTH, ADULTS AND COMMUNITY (D. RADLEY)

(Learning Disability Commissioning Manager (A. Gosling))

Reasons for the decision

There are many types of care and support models operating in the market and within the Borough, some have separate housing and support managed through a partnership arrangement. The schemes covered in this paper all offer a model of support and accommodation that is integrated. This is similar to the model commonly utilised in other areas of the market, e.g. residential care.

In acting as landlord and support provider at Mary Jones Court, LACS have confirmed to the Council that their model of support for these schemes requires an integration of support and accommodation. They operate a single approach to these buildings and will not enter into a partnership arrangement.

This is also the case at Albert Cottages, Fenton Street and Buxton Street where Outward Housing have also confirmed it is not possible for the housing

and support services to be separated and have advised that they are not willing to enter into a Service Level Agreement or Management Agreement with another provider for the housing management functions within their supported accommodation schemes.

This means that it is not possible to tender these buildings and so the only option would be to tender for new buildings to deliver these 40 units of accommodation care and support. This is not the case with the other Learning Disability supported accommodation contract the Borough holds. This contract has separate accommodation and support providers and will therefore be tendered through a procurement process and is not included in this proposal.

[More information on market testing and the benefits of direct awards are set out in the report.]

Alternative options

Competitive procurement: This option has been explored however was not deemed suitable due to the lack of competition. Previous procurements have generated only one bid from the incumbent providers and market testing generated no viable alternatives. This option would be resource intensive, and result in the same outcome as a direct contract award, giving the Local Authority less opportunity to inform the model of care and coproduce an enhanced support provision. Details of market testing undertaken is set out in Sections 1.5-1.8.

Reduce our local number of supported accommodation contracts to exclude these schemes: This option is not viable. With a total of 58 units of Supported Living accommodation for people with Learning Disabilities across four contracts, the lowest across the North East sub region, the London Borough of Tower Hamlets has an undersupply of supported living accommodation. As a result of the shortage of suitable in borough accommodation options, individuals are moved into out of borough placements, often disconnecting them from their lives, community and family.

The Adults Learning Disability Strategic Priorities set out a number of outcomes and improvements people with Learning Disability said were important to them. Increasing the number of people “Living Locally” is one of the six key themes and recommendations. This includes developing a greater range of local supported living accommodation options that allow people to live in their own accommodation, closer to their families, friendship networks and local community.

In response to this the borough has developed and opened a 7-unit Learning Disability service for people with high and complex needs and are in the process of refurbishing two sites in the borough for an additional 12 units of supported living accommodation, due to come online in Summer 2023 and Winter 2024 . The Council will need to take a longer-term view on the requirements for learning disability accommodation going forward as in developing its own supported living accommodation, the borough is reducing

its future reliance on support providers to identify/offer suitable accommodation options for supported living. This allows for more competition in tendering for contracts and widening the market for new support providers to enter the Borough. It also gives the Borough more control of its accommodation/buildings and who delivers the support within them.

Demographics and Occupancy

Albert Cottages, Buxton Street, Fenton Street and Mary Jones Court are located in Aldgate, Shadwell and Westferry. Approximately 58% of the current occupants are under 50 years of age with 21% in the 26-34 age range whilst 42% are over 50 years of age with 23% of these in the 53-59 age range. Population projections relating to the age structure of the borough expects the population of younger adults to grow slower than that of older adults over the coming years.

62% of the current occupants are white British, 21% are Asian, 13% are African or Caribbean. 72% of occupants identify as male and 28% as female. The average length of stay is 10 to 15 years and 46% of the current occupants have resided within the scheme for this period whilst 33% of occupants have resided within the schemes for up to 2 years. The average utilisation rate across all 4 schemes during 2021/22 was 94%. Vacancies occur within all schemes as people move onto to live independently in the community or where older individuals health needs are such that specialist extra care or nursing care is required.

6.4 Housing Revenue Account (HRA) 2022 - 25 Capital Programme: Latest position

The Pre-Decision Scrutiny Question and officer response were noted.

DECISION

1. To approve the budget allocations for new schemes being added to the programme as substitutes for previously approved schemes which are being removed, subject to sign off through the capital governance process and agreement to proceed given by the Corporate Director, Place in consultation with the Mayor and the Corporate Director, Resources.
2. To approve the addition of Buckhurst Street, Smithy Street, Candy Street, housing on the St Georges Leisure Centre site, the purchase of 9 completed homes at Royal Mint Street, the conversion of Albert Jacob House to be funded by the removal of the Ashington House scheme and unallocated grant and s106, subject to sign off through the capital governance process and agreement to proceed given by the Corporate Director of Place in consultation with the Mayor and Corporate Director, Resources.
3. To approve the removal of the housing companies from the capital programme.

4. To approve the virement of £2.098m from the THH capital works budget of £90.200m for essential works at Watney Market car park
5. To approve delegated authority to the Corporate Director, Place, in consultation with the Mayor and the Corporate Director, Resources, to substitute schemes within the Approved HRA Capital Programme.
6. To note that schemes funded by future capital receipts (RTB receipts) and/or s106 contributions will not go ahead until such funds have been received by the Council.
7. To approve delegated authority to the Corporate Director of Place in consultation with the Mayor and the Corporate Director of Resources for all activities required to deliver the approved capital programme, for example but not exclusively, go out to tender, appoint consultants and contractors in accordance with the Procurement Procedures, acquire land interests, appropriate land from the General Fund to the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) for the delivery of new council homes and other rights of appropriation, subject to approved budget.
8. To note the Equalities Impact Assessment and specific equalities considerations as set out in Section 4 of the report.

Action by:**CORPORATE DIRECTOR, PLACE (A. SUTCLIFFE)****INTERIM CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESOURCES (K. BARTLE)**

(Interim Capital Programme Manager (Housing) (J. Abraham))

Reasons for the decision

The 2022-25 HRA Capital Programme approved in March 2022 requires a review and refresh to reflect the priorities set out by the new administration in the 2022-26 Strategic Plan. The 30-year HRA Business Plan is being updated and the revised programme will need to be set within the affordability constraints of the refreshed HRA Business Plan.

Alternative options

The council is required to approve a capital programme that it can demonstrate it can fund. Funding sources are identified for all schemes listed in the latest position of the 2022-25 HRA Capital Programme as set out in this report.

The alternative route would be to update on a scheme by scheme basis through the Quarterly Monitoring report prepared by Corporate Finance rather than reviewing the programme as a whole that can ensure the priorities in the Strategic Plan are addressed.

6.5 Approval of the action plan arising from the Housing and Regeneration Scrutiny Sub-committee's Fire Safety Review Report (September 2021)

DECISION

1. To note the report arising from the H&RSSC's review of fire safety in the borough; and
2. To approve the actions arising from the recommendations made in the HRSSC's review of fire safety in the borough.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, PLACE (A. SUTCLIFFE)

(Director of Housing (K. Swift))

(Fire Safety Team Leader (G. Ganadin))

Reasons for the decision

The Housing and Regenerations Scrutiny Sub-Committee (H&RSSC) has previously explored a range of issues concerning fire and building safety. A Fire Safety Scrutiny review was undertaken in 2018, following the Grenfell fire, which led to a Fire Safety Action Plan that was recommended by the sub-committee for closure at HRSSC's meeting of the 15 April 2021, as all the actions had been completed.

Following the fire at NPW, the HRSSC decided to undertake a second 'deep dive' review of fire safety which commenced with a scoping exercise at their meeting held on 22 June 2021. The sub-committee heard evidence from leaseholders to ensure that the 'deep dive' covered the areas of concern from residents' perspective which was used to inform the review that took place over the summer of 2021.

At the committee's next meeting on 9 September 2021, the former Chair of the HRSSC introduced the report which set out the outcomes of the 'deep dive' review and proposed a number of recommendations in response to residents' concerns.

The council's Fire Safety Group then reviewed and formulated an action plan in response to the HRSSC's recommendations. Due to the council elections earlier this year and the resumption of the council's usual decision-making processes, it is now at this point where there has been space in the Cabinet's Forward Plan to take the resulting action plan to Cabinet for approval.

The action plan and its associated proposals will guide the council's ongoing fire and building safety work in collaboration with its Private Registered Providers partners and builders/developers operating in the borough.

Operationally, officers will continue to focus on managing private sector ACM remediation, collecting EWS data on behalf of the DLUHC, responding to residents' concerns on building and fire safety issues and preparing for the implementation of the Building Safety Act.

Alternative options

The alternative option is not to recommend that the Mayor and Cabinet adopts the actions arising from the recommendations - or that only some of them are adopted. This is not recommended as the review that led to these proposed actions was predicated on clearly stated concerns that residents expressed at the fire safety scoping session at the June sub-committee meeting. Nevertheless while the majority of the actions can be met from within existing budgets and are in many cases part of business as usual, it is not possible to action every one of the 17 recommendations, as the council is constrained by legal and financial practicalities in some areas.

6.6 Seahorse Homes Limited and Mulberry Housing Society Limited**DECISION**

1. To approve the dissolution of Seahorse Homes Limited.
2. To note the dissolution of Mulberry Housing Society Limited.
3. To approve the write off of the unrecovered sum of £26.4K for Seahorse Homes Limited.
4. To approve the write off of the unrecovered sum of £7.4K for Mulberry Housing Society Limited.

Action by:**CHIEF EXECUTIVE (W. TUCKLEY)**

(Housing Companies and Shared Services Manager (N. Klinger))

Reasons for the decision

National and local policy changes since the inception of Seahorse Homes Limited and Mulberry Housing Society Limited in 2017 have removed the need to use housing companies as delivery vehicles to build new Council homes.

As the sole Shareholder, the London Borough of Tower Hamlets can choose to dissolve Seahorse Homes Limited. This is recommended as an external review conducted by Savills in 2022 found that there is no viable position in respect of Seahorse Homes in short to medium term returns. This is due to recent cost environmental changes in respect of interest rates and repayment mechanisms.

The Shareholders of Mulberry Housing Society Limited have passed a resolution to dissolve the community benefit society. The primary reasons for this include that the Council is delivering its new build programme via the Housing Revenue Account, and the review conducted by Savills in 2022 found no option that would put the Society in a financially viable position due to recent policy and cost environmental changes in respect of available subsidy, interest rates and repayment mechanisms.

Alternative options

Seahorse Homes Limited could continue as a non-trading company. However, there are costs associated with administering a company that the Council would need to fund. There would be no prospect of financial returns as the company would not be trading.

The Council could use Seahorse Homes Limited for other commercial activity not related to housing. However, it is suggested in this case a separate Local Authority Trading Company (LATCO) is set up following a full business case related to such activity.

The Council could choose to fund the activities of Seahorse Homes Limited (via the General Fund). However, any financial benefits would not be seen before a 50-year period, so this option is not recommended.

As a minority Shareholder the Council cannot choose whether Mulberry Housing Society Limited is dissolved or not so there is no alternative option in this regard.

6.7 Youth Justice Board Annual Report**DECISION**

1. To note the Youth Justice Annual Plan.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, CHILDREN AND CULTURE (J. THOMAS)

(Director of Supporting Families (S. Beasley-Murray)

(Head of Service of Youth Justice and Young People's Services (K. Duggan)

Reasons for the decision

It's a statutory process to support the grant funding for frontline service delivery.

Alternative options

N/A

6.8 George Green Change of Designation from Complex Needs to Autistic Spectrum Condition - Formal Decision following statutory notice.

DECISION

1. To approve the proposal to agree the prescribed alterations to George Green's School, namely the redesignation of the Resource Base Provision from complex needs/physical disabilities to Autistic Spectrum Condition (ASC). as set out in the Statutory Notice at Appendix 2 to the report.
2. To note the Equalities Impact Assessment set out in Section 4 of the report and attached as Appendix 3 to the report.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, CHILDREN AND CULTURE (J. THOMAS)
(Head of Special Educational Needs (J. O'Shea))

Reasons for the decision

The recommendation is made in order to determine the Council's response to representations received during the period of statutory notice proposing the prescribed alterations to meet the requirements of the SEN Strategy to address the needs of local children.

The provision will supplement existing provision for children with autistic spectrum conditions, and will particularly address the shortage of local provision for children with ASC needs but who are "high functioning" or able to meet achieve academically at, or around, age-appropriate levels in the secondary school cohort.

Alternative options

The Mayor could decide not to agree to the prescribed alterations in which case the new provision would not be available to local children and the Local Authority would not be able to deliver its SEN Strategy. If the decision was not to progress children would need to access high-cost independent special school provision, or to travel out of Borough for their needs to be addressed.

The Mayor could decide to delay the decision on the prescribed alterations until later. This uncertainty would adversely impact on the provision of education for the current pupils with ASC needs and would therefore not be in their best interests.

6.9 Report on outcome of the preliminary stakeholder consultation (pre-statutory) on the proposal for the amalgamation of The Bishop Challoner RC Federation Girls' and Boys' Schools

DECISION

1. To agree for the publication of a statutory notice for 28 days, in respect of the proposal to amalgamate Bishop Challoner Catholic Girls' and Boys' Schools to form a Co-educational Catholic School for 11-18 year olds. This would take effect from 1st September 2023.
2. To note the findings from the Equalities Assessment.

Action by:

CORPORATE DIRECTOR, CHILDREN AND CULTURE (J. THOMAS)

(Service Head, Pupil Access and School Sufficiency (T. Bryan))

Reasons for the decision

The recommendation is made in order to determine the council's response to the proposal for the amalgamation (merger) of Bishop Challoner Catholic Girls' and Boys' Schools.

The proposal is being put forward as part of the strategy for the Bishop Challoner Federation to provide an integrated 11 to 18 secondary school provision, rather than maintain separate boys and girls schools. This merger will provide additional opportunities to maximise expertise and resources across the staff group, build on the existing co-educational practices and raise expectations and success for pupils. A copy of the Consultation Proposal is attached as Appendix 1 to the report.

The merger would be achieved through the 'technical closure of Bishop Challoner Catholic Boys' School on August 31st 2023 and the expansion, and renaming, of Bishop Challoner Catholic Girls' School to become Bishop Challoner Catholic School from 1st September 2023. The existing buildings for Bishop Challoner Boys School would become part of the merged school.

In line with the DfE guidance (October 2018), 'Making significant changes (prescribed alterations) to maintained schools' and 'Opening and Closing Maintained Schools', a first stage public consultation has been undertaken, and a report on the outcome of the consultation has been published on both the council and schools' websites.

The consultation report includes feedback on the representations received following the first publication of the proposals on the 21st September 2022.

Alternative options

Option 1: to move to the publication of a statutory notice for 28 days, which is the next stage in the statutory consultation process. This will provide further opportunity for consultees to have their say and or set legal objections against the proposal.

Option 2: not to move to the publication of a statutory notice, but to cease the proposal after the formal consultation period. This would mean not concluding the full statutory consultation process in order to take the decision to establish the newly amalgamated school.

Option 1 is preferred as it takes the proposal to the next stage in the full statutory consultation process. It allows consultees further opportunity to fully consider the proposal during an additional 28 day period and before the Mayor (in cabinet) is required to make a final determination.

6.10 Record of Corporate Directors Actions 2022/23 Q2

DECISION

1. To note the Record of Corporate Directors' Actions set out in Appendix 1 to the report.

Action by:

INTERIM CORPORATE DIRECTOR, RESOURCES (K. BARTLE)
(Interim Head of Procurement (P. Maskell))

Reasons for the decision

The Council's constitution requires that Corporate Director's Actions in respect of contracts over £100,000 must be reported to Cabinet for noting.

The regular reporting of Corporate Director's Actions should assist in ensuring that Members are able to scrutinise officer decisions.

Alternative options

The Council is bound by its constitution to report to Cabinet financial decisions taken under Corporate Director's Actions.

If the Council were to deviate from those requirements, there would need to be a good reason for doing so. It is not considered that there is any such reason, having regard to the need to ensure that Members are kept informed about decisions made under the delegated authority threshold and to ensure that these decisions are in accordance with Financial Regulations.

7. ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Nil items.

8. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

The Exempt/Confidential Minutes of the previous meeting were agreed during the unrestricted part of the meeting. There was therefore no need to pass a resolution to exclude the press and public.

9. EXEMPT / CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES

DECISION

1. That the exempt/confidential minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on Wednesday 26th October 2022 be approved and signed by the Chair as a correct record of proceedings.

10. OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

10.1 Chair's Advice of Key Issues or Questions in Relation to Exempt / Confidential Business

Nil items.

10.2 Any Exempt / Confidential Decisions "Called in" by the Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Nil items.

11. EXEMPT / CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION

Nil items.

12. ANY OTHER EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Nil items.

The meeting ended at 6.36 p.m.

Chair, Mayor Lutfur Rahman
Cabinet

ⁱ The Portas Review - An independent review into the future of our high streets - 2021

ⁱⁱ **Review of the relevance of parking to the success of urban centres – London Councils 2012**