

**Appendix Three:  
Statement of Licensing Policy Online Survey Results**

The below shows the

Question: Do you agree with the current “Framework Hours”

As can be seen in chart below, 37 out of the 64 people that completed the online survey agreed with the Frame Work Hours detailed in the Statement of Licensing Policy. This shows that 57.8 percent of those that completed the survey agree with the Frame Work hours.

Answer	Number of people
No	26
Yes	37
Not answered	1
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: If no do you think they should be “Frame Work” Hours Extended or Reduced

That said 26 people who completed the survey did not agree with the Fame Work Hours. This is a percentage 40.6. Of this 40.6 percent a large proportion, 76.9 percent, said that the hours should be reduced with only 23 percent saying that they should be extended. This can be seen in the table below:

Answer	Number of people
Extended	6
Reduced	20
Not answered	38
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: Do you agree the current Cumulative Impact Zone should remain in place around Brick Lane?

There was a large support of to keeping the Cumulative Impact Zone (CIZ) with 89 percent (57 people) of those who completed the survey agreeing that the current CIZ should remain in place around Brick Lane. See table below:

Answer	Number of people
No	6
Yes	57
Not answered	1
	<b>64</b>

Question: If no should CIZ be expanded or abolished?

Of the 6 people (9.3 %) that did not agree with the current CIZ almost all of them wanted it to be expanded with only 1 person saying it should be abolished.

Answer	Number of people
Abolished	1
Expanded	5
Not answered	58
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: Do you agree with the proposal to introduce a further Cumulative Impact Zone in Bethnal Green, click here to view proposed Zone?

As can be seen in the table below 54 out of the 64 people who completed the online survey, essentially 84%, agreed with the proposal to introduce a further Cumulative Impact Zone in Bethnal Green. Only 9 (14%) people disagreed with this and 1 not answering the question. This shows there is a large amount of support to introduce a further Cumulative Impact Zone in this area.

Answered	Number of people
No	9
Yes	54
Not answered	1
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: Do you agree that the Licensing Authority should keep this voluntary consultation?

The online survey showed that there was large support for keeping the current voluntary consultation as can be seen in the table below with 51 out of 64 people who completed the survey agreeing with this consultation.

Answer	Number of people
No	10
Yes	51
Not answered	3
	<b>Total 64</b>

All of the 10 people who answered no to this questioned said they wanted the voluntary consultation increased. None of these 10 expressed a wish for the current voluntary 40 meter consultation to be abolished or reduced.

Question: Do you agree with the proposed change to the Licensing Policy regarding the Olympic Park, Football Ground?

Only 5 out of the 64 people who completed the survey did not agree with this proposed change to the Policy, with 1 not answering. Thus the majority of those

who complete the survey, 90.6 percent (58 out of 64) agreed with the change to the Policy, as can be seen in the table below:

Answer	Number of people
No	5
Yes	58
Not answered	1
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: Do you agree with the proposal not to apply an exemption in relation to the above (Power to apply an Exemption in relation to the requirement to Licence Late Night Refreshment in certain prescribed circumstances)?

As with the question above relating the Olympic Park the online survey showed that people were in support of our proposal not to apply an exemption in relation to the licensable activity of Late Night Refreshments (provision of hot food or drink between 23:00 and 05:00 hours). Of the 64 people who completed the survey 55 agreed with the proposal not to provide an exemption in relation to the above with only 8 people disagreeing with the proposal.

Answered	Number of people
No	8
Yes	55
Not answered	1
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: Do you agree with the Licensing Authority's position on Touting and, where its discretion is engaged to insert standard conditions in relation to touting onto licences?

There was overwhelming support for this, with 60 out of the 64 people who complete the survey agreeing with the Licensing Authority's position in relation to touting.

Answer	Number of people
No	3
Yes	60
No answered	1
	<b>Total 64</b>

Question: Do you agree that the Licensing Authority, where its discretion is engaged should insert conditions into licences in relation to Street Furniture and Fly Posting?

Again this received overwhelming support with 59 out of the 64 people who completed the survey agreeing with the Licensing Authority's position in relation to street furniture.

<b>Answer</b>	<b>Number of people</b>
No	5
Yes	59
Not answered	0
	<b>Total 64</b>